Accurate leading term of the relativistic dispersion force between two ground state hydrogen atoms

著者	KOGA Toshikatsu
journal or publication title	The journal of chemical physics
volume	83
number	12
page range	6304-6306
year	1985-12-15
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10258/934

doi: info:doi/10.1063/1.449581

Accurate leading term of the relativistic dispersion force between two ground-state hydrogen atoms

Toshikatsu Koga

Department of Applied Chemistry and Department of Applied Science for Energy, Muroran Institute of Technology, Muroran, Hokkaido 050, Japan

(Received 13 August 1985; accepted 4 September 1985)

Using a special form of the nonrelativistic first order perturbation wave function reported very recently for the van der Waals interaction between two ground-state hydrogen atoms, we show that an accurate leading term (proportional to $\alpha^2 R^{-4}$) of the relativistic dispersion force of this system can be obtained in an extremely simple manner.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper,¹ perturbation equations for the H(1s)–H(1s) van der Waals interaction have been shown to be exactly solvable in their Schrödinger forms by using the momentum space method with the Fock transformation. The first order equation (with respect to the perturbation potential) has been actually solved and the leading term of the first order perturbation function proportional to R^{-3} has been reported in a rigorous way, where R is the internuclear distance. The function has been then used to evaluate the dispersion energy $C_6 R^{-6}$ and a very accurate value of C_6 has been determined in a simple manner.¹ The same function has also been employed for the calculation of an accurate coefficient of the Axilrod–Teller triple-dipole term for three ground-state hydrogen atoms, which is an important leading term of the nonadditive three-body long-range force.²

In the present paper, we show that the above first order perturbation wave function can also be applied successfully to the evaluation of the leading term of the relativistic dispersion force resulting from the Breit–Pauli correction³ to the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian. The relativistic effect on the long-range force was systematically studied by Meath and Hirschfelder⁴ and the leading term was found to be in proportion to $\alpha^2 R^{-4}$ where α is the fine structure constant (see also Refs. 5 and 6 for review). In the next section, the proper-

ty of the (nonrelativistic) first order function is summarized. In Sec. III, the coefficient of the leading term of the relativistic dispersion force is shown to be just half of b_{22} , the first and predominant expansion coefficient of the nonrelativistic first order perturbation function, and its accurate value is obtained. Atomic units are used throughout this paper.

II. NONRELATIVISTIC FIRST ORDER PERTURBATION WAVE FUNCTION

In Ref. 1, the leading term of the first order perturbation wave function has been obtained to be

$$\psi_3(1,2) = R^{-3} \chi(1,2), \quad (1a)$$

$$\chi(1,2) = \sum_{n,n'=2}^{\infty} b_{nn'} \{ np_{+1}(1)n'p_{-1}(2) + np_{-1}(1)n'p_{+1}(2) + 2[np_0(1)n'p_0(2)] \}, \quad (1b)$$

where np_m denotes the normalized *hydrogenic* orbital with the quantum numbers (n, l, m) and the *common* exponent unity. The z -axis is taken along the internuclear vector $\mathbf{R}$ and $R = |\mathbf{R}|$. Equation (1b) can be rewritten in the Cartesian representation as²

$$\chi(1,2) = \sum_{n,n'=2}^{\infty} b_{nn'} \{ -np_x(1)n'p_x(2) - np_y(1)n'p_y(2) + 2[np_z(1)n'p_z(2)] \}. \quad (1c)$$

The expansion coefficients $\{b_{nn'}\}$, which differ by factor 32 from those obtained in Ref. 1, are exactly determined by a set of inhomogeneous linear equations¹

$$(1 - n^{-1})[a_{-}(n' + 1, 1)b_{nn'+1} + b_{nn'} + a_{+}(n' - 1, 1)b_{nn'-1}] + (1 - n'^{-1})[a_{-}(n + 1, 1)b_{n+1n'} + b_{nn'} + a_{+}(n - 1, 1)b_{n-1n'}] \\ = \delta_{n2}\delta_{n'2} + (1/\sqrt{6})(\delta_{n2}\delta_{n'3} + \delta_{n3}\delta_{n'2}) + (1/6)\delta_{n3}\delta_{n'3}, \quad n, n' = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \quad (2a)$$

where δ_{ij} represents Kronecker's delta and

$$a_{-}(n, l) = (1/2)[(n + l)(n - l - 1)/n(n - 1)]^{1/2}, \quad (2b)$$

$$a_{+}(n, l) = (1/2)[(n - l)(n + l + 1)/n(n + 1)]^{1/2}. \quad (2c)$$

Practically, Eq. (2a) is solved after the truncation at some principal quantum number $n_{\max}$ which is determined by the required accuracy of $\chi(1,2)$. Once the coefficients $\{b_{nn'}\}$ are known, the dispersion coefficient C_6 for two hydrogen atoms and the Axilrod–Teller triple-dipole coefficient ν for three hydrogen atoms are obtained straightforwardly. The former is given by¹

$$C_6 = -6[b_{22} + (2/\sqrt{6})b_{32} + (1/6)b_{33}], \quad (3a)$$

and the latter by²

$$\nu = 18 \sum_{n,n'} \sum_{m,m'} b_{nn'} b_{mm'} I_n I_m J_{nm'}, \quad (3b)$$

where

$$I_n = \delta_{2n} + (1/\sqrt{6})\delta_{3n}, \quad (3c)$$

$$J_{nm} = a_{-}(n, 1)\delta_{n,m+1} + \delta_{nm} + a_{+}(n, 1)\delta_{n,m-1}. \quad (3d)$$

For $n_{\max} = 20$, the numerical values of Eqs. (3a) and (3b) are^{1,2}

$$C_6 = -6.499\,026\,705\,405\,83, \quad (4a)$$

$$\nu = 21.642\,464\,510\,635\,979, \quad (4b)$$

which are trustworthy to within one unit in the last decimal place.

III. LEADING TERM OF RELATIVISTIC DISPERSION FORCE

The Breit–Pauli correction, accurate through $O(\alpha^2)$ [where $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c \sim 1/137$ is the fine structure constant], consists of six terms.^{3,4} When these terms are expanded in powers of R^{-1} for large values of R , the leading term of $\alpha^2 R^{-1} H_{LL,1}$ appears from the correction $\alpha^2 H_{LL}$ which corresponds to the classical electromagnetic coupling of the electrons through the interaction of the magnetic fields created by their motion.⁴ For the present system, the leading term $H_{LL,1}$ is given by⁴

$$H_{LL,1} = -(1/2)(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2 + p_{1z} p_{2z}) \\ = -(1/2)(p_{1x} p_{2x} + p_{1y} p_{2y} + 2p_{1z} p_{2z}), \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_i = (p_{ix}, p_{iy}, p_{iz})$ is the momentum vector of electron i , and $H_{LL,1}$ represents the (orbital–current)–(orbital–current) interaction.

The leading term in the relativistic corrections to the usual dispersion force is then given by

$$2\langle\psi_3(1,2)|\alpha^2 R^{-1} H_{LL,1}|\psi^{(0)}(1,2)\rangle = W_4 \alpha^2 R^{-4}, \quad (6a)$$

where the coefficient W_4 is

$$W_4 = 2\langle\chi(1,2)|H_{LL,1}|\psi^{(0)}(1,2)\rangle, \quad (6b)$$

and $\psi^{(0)}(1,2) = 1s(1)1s(2)$ is the unperturbed wave function in the nonrelativistic treatment. Equation (6a) has a physical interpretation as (orbital–current)–(electrostatic–dipole) interaction.⁴

TABLE I. Coefficient W_4 of the relativistic dispersion force between two ground-state hydrogen atoms.

$n_{\max}$	W_4
2	0.500 000 000 0000
3	0.464 285 714 2857
4	0.462 886 122 6372
5	0.462 812 952 8243
6	0.462 807 316 7197
7	0.462 806 669 5544
8	0.462 806 566 8168
9	0.462 806 546 0303
10	0.462 806 540 9735
11	0.462 806 539 5517
12	0.462 806 539 1023
13	0.462 806 538 9458
14	0.462 806 538 8867
15	0.462 806 538 8628
16	0.462 806 538 8525
17	0.462 806 538 8478
18	0.462 806 538 8456
19	0.462 806 538 8445
20	0.462 806 538 8440
21	0.462 806 538 8437
22	0.462 806 538 8435
23	0.462 806 538 8434
24	0.462 806 538 8434
25	0.462 806 538 8433

TABLE II. Comparison of several W_4 values.

Authors	W_4
Chang–Karpplus (Ref. 7)	$\begin{cases} < 0.473\,1 \\ > 0.457\,5 \end{cases}$
Alexander (Ref. 8)	$\begin{cases} < 0.462\,806\,8 \\ > 0.462\,805\,2 \end{cases}$
Johnson–Epstein–Meath (Ref. 9)	0.462 806 5
Deal (Ref. 10)	0.462 806 538 842
Present	0.462 806 538 8433

Now inserting Eqs. (1c) and (5) into Eq. (6b), we have

$$W_4 = \sum_{n,n'=2}^{\infty} b_{nn'} [\langle np_x(1)|p_{1x}|1s(1)\rangle \langle n'p_x(2)|p_{2x}|1s(2)\rangle \\ + \langle np_y(1)|p_{1y}|1s(1)\rangle \langle n'p_y(2)|p_{2y}|1s(2)\rangle \\ - 4\langle np_z(1)|p_{1z}|1s(1)\rangle \langle n'p_z(2)|p_{2z}|1s(2)\rangle] \\ = -2 \sum_{n,n'=2}^{\infty} b_{nn'} K_n K_{n'}, \quad (7a)$$

in which

$$K_n = \langle np_x|p_x|1s\rangle = \langle np_y|p_y|1s\rangle = \langle np_z|p_z|1s\rangle. \quad (8a)$$

The integral K_n is easily evaluated in momentum space based on the orthonormal property of the four-dimensional spherical harmonics $\{Y_{nlm}\}$.¹ Since

$$1s = 4(p^2 + 1)^{-2} Y_{100}(\Omega), \\ np_z = np_0 = 4(p^2 + 1)^{-2} Y_{n10}(\Omega), \\ (p_z)(1s) = [(1/2)(p^2 + 1)\sin\alpha\cos\theta](1s) \\ = i(p^2 + 1)^{-1} Y_{210}(\Omega),$$

we find from the last equality of Eq. (8a) that

$$K_n = (i/2) \int Y_{n10}^*(\Omega) Y_{210}(\Omega) d\Omega = (i/2) \delta_{2n}. \quad (8b)$$

Therefore we finally obtain the desired result in a surprisingly simple form:

$$W_4 = (1/2) b_{22}. \quad (7b)$$

Using Eq. (7b), we have calculated W_4 for a different choice of $n_{\max}$ (≤ 25). The results are summarized in Table I. The convergence with increasing $n_{\max}$ is rapid as it was for C_6 and ν , and the W_4 for $n_{\max} = 25$ is reliable to within one unit in the last figure given. In order to emphasize the simplicity and accuracy of the present method, we have compared in Table II several values of W_4 reported previously. The present value compares well with that obtained by Deal¹⁰ who employed an extensive numerical integration technique.

The present result, Eq. (7b), together with the previous results of Eqs. (3a) and (3b) demonstrate that the method developed in Ref. 1 and the resultant perturbation function in the form of Eq. (1) are very useful and accurate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Part of this study has been supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education of Japan.

- ¹T. Koga and S. Matsumoto, *J. Chem. Phys.* **82**, 5127 (1985).
²T. Koga, *J. Chem. Phys.* (submitted).
³J. O. Hirschfelder, C. F. Curtiss, and R. B. Bird, *Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids* (Wiley, New York, 1954), pp. 1044–1046.
⁴W. J. Meath and J. O. Hirschfelder, *J. Chem. Phys.* **44**, 3197, 3210 (1966).
⁵J. O. Hirschfelder and W. J. Meath, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **12**, 3 (1967).
⁶H. Margenau and N. R. Kestner, *Theory of Intermolecular Forces*, 2nd ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1971), pp. 238–242.
⁷T. Y. Chang and M. Karplus, *J. Chem. Phys.* **52**, 4698 (1970).
⁸M. H. Alexander, *Phys. Rev. A* **1**, 1397 (1970).
⁹R. E. Johnson, S. T. Epstein, and W. J. Meath, *J. Chem. Phys.* **47**, 1271 (1967).
¹⁰W. J. Deal, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **6**, 593 (1972).