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The electron-pair intracule (relative motion) \( h(u) \) and extracule (center-of-mass motion) \( d(R) \) densities represent probability densities for the interelectronic distance and the center-of-mass radius of any pairs of electrons, respectively. For 102 atoms from He (atomic number \( Z = 2 \)) to \( \text{Lr} \) (\( Z = 103 \)), we report that electron-pair radii \( R_{2i} \) and \( R_{2e} \), defined by \( h(R_{2i}) = c_{2i} \) and \( d(R_{2e}) = c_{2e} \), have good linear correlations with the relative sizes \( R_1 \) of atoms introduced based on the single-electron density \( \rho(r) \) such that \( \rho(R_1) = c_1 \), where \( c_1, c_{2i}, \) and \( c_{2e} \) are constants common to the 102 atoms. It is also shown that an interesting relation \( R_{2e} = R_{2i}/2 \) holds, if \( c_{2e} \) is set equal to \( 8c_{2i} \).
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I. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

The motion of an electron pair in atoms is characterized\(^1\sim3\) by the spherically averaged intracule (relative motion) density \( h(u) \),

\[
h(u) = (4\pi u^2)^{-1} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \Gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2), \tag{1}
\]

and by the spherically averaged extracule (center-of-mass motion) density \( d(R) \),

\[
d(R) = (4\pi R^2)^{-1} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2) \Gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2), \tag{2}
\]

where \( \delta(x) \) is the one-dimensional Dirac delta function and

\[
\Gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \int d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 d\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_N \times |\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N)|^2, \tag{3}
\]

is the spin-reduced two-electron density function\(^4\) associated with an \( N \)-electron wave function \( \Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N) \) with \( x_i = (\mathbf{r}_i, \sigma_i) \) being the combined position-spin coordinates of the electron \( i \). By the definitions (1)–(3), the densities \( h(u) \) and \( d(R) \) are normalized as

\[
4\pi \int_0^\infty du \ u^2 h(u) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dR R^2 d(R) = N(N-1)/2, \tag{4}
\]

where \( N(N-1)/2 \) is the number of electron pairs in the system.

The intracule density \( h(u) \) represents\(^1\sim3\) the probability density function for the relative distance \( |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| \) of any pair of electrons \( i \) and \( j \) to be \( u \). It has been used in several physical and chemical contexts particularly in relation to the electron correlation problem (see references given in Refs. 2, 3, and 5–8). On the other hand, the extracule density \( d(R) \) represents\(^1\sim3\) the probability density function for the center-of-mass radius \( |\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2|/2 \) of any pair of electrons \( i \) and \( j \) to be \( R \). The density was used to study the shell structure in some atoms and bonding characteristics in simple molecules (see references in Refs. 2, 3, and 9–12).

In the literature, many studies, within and beyond the Hartree–Fock theory, were carried out on the electron-pair intracule and extracule densities of light atoms and small molecules, based on approximate basis-set-expansion wave functions (see Refs. 2, 3, and 5–12 and references therein). However, it is rather recently that systematic yet accurate Hartree–Fock electron-pair densities have been obtained\(^6\sim10,11,13\) for the ground-state neutral atoms He (\( Z = 2 \)) through \( \text{Lr} \) (\( Z = 103 \)), where \( Z \) denotes atomic number. Moreover, various properties of the atomic intracule and extracule densities have been clarified, including their modalities\(^7\sim10,11,13\), Maclaurin expansions\(^14\), coalescence \( h(0) \) and counterbalance \( d(0) \) densities,\(^15,16\) and moments \( \langle u^n \rangle \) and \( \langle R^n \rangle \)\(^6,10,13\) defined by

\[
\langle u^n \rangle = 4\pi \int_0^\infty du \ u^{n+2} h(u), \tag{5a}
\]

\[
\langle R^n \rangle = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dR R^{n+2} d(R). \tag{5b}
\]

Though the relative motion and the center-of-mass motion of two particles are completely independent, it has been pointed out\(^10,11,17\) that the Coulombic binding of electrons in an atomic system generates approximate isomorphic relations between the intracule and extracule properties. Two interesting and important examples are\(^10,11,17\)

\[
d(R) \equiv 8h(2R), \tag{6a}
\]

\[
\langle u^n \rangle / \langle R^n \rangle \equiv 2^n. \tag{6b}
\]

Approximate linear correlations of the two-electron moments \( \langle u^n \rangle \) and \( \langle R^n \rangle \) with the one-electron moments \( \langle r^n \rangle \) have also been reported,\(^18\) where

\[
\langle r^n \rangle = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr \ r^{n+2} \rho(r), \tag{7}
\]

in which the spherically averaged single-electron density \( \rho(r) \) is defined by

---
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\[
\rho(r) = N(4\pi r^2)^{-1} \int dx_1 \cdots dx_N \times \delta(r - |r_i|)|\Psi(x_1, \ldots, x_N)|^2 \\
= \frac{2}{N-1}(4\pi)^{-1} \int dr_1 dr_2 \delta(r - |r_i|) \Gamma(r_1, r_2).
\]

(8)

In the present paper, we study the radii \(R_{2i}\) and \(R_{2e}\) of the intracule \(h(u)\) and extracule \(d(R)\) densities specified by a small contour value, i.e.,

\[
h(R_{2i}) = c_{2i}, \quad d(R_{2e}) = c_{2e},
\]

(9a)

(9b)

for the 102 atoms He through Lr, where \(c_{2i}\) and \(c_{2e}\) are constants common to all the atoms. As observed between the two-electron \((u^2)\) and \((R^n)\) moments and the one-electron \(<p^m>\) moments, we have expected that the electron-pair radii \(R_{2i}\) and \(R_{2e}\) would have some relations with the “relative sizes of atoms” \(R_1\) defined by Boyd as

\[
\rho(R_1) = c_1,
\]

(10)

based on choosing an appropriate contour value \(c_1\) in the single-electron density function \(\rho(r)\). In the next section, Boyd’s relative sizes of atoms are outlined and a modification is mentioned. In Sec. III, the electron-pair radii \(R_{2i}\) and \(R_{2e}\) are presented and discussed using the intracule and extracule densities from numerical Hartree–Fock calculations. It will be found that our anticipation stated above is true and the radii \(R_{2i}\) and \(R_{2e}\) have good linear correlations with \(R_1\). We also find that an approximation \(R_{2i} \approx R_{2e}/2\) is valid, if we choose the contour values as \(c_{2i} = 8c_{2e}\). Hartree atomic units are used, except that numerical values of radii are given in pm with the conversion factor \(1\) bohr = 52.9177249 pm.\(^{20}\)

II. RELATIVE SIZES OF ATOMS

Together with an assumed additivity in molecules and solids, various “sizes” were devised\(^{21}\) empirically for atoms and ions, such as covalent, ionic, van der Waals, metallic, and crystal radii. To define intrinsic radii of atoms, before influenced by the surrounding environment in molecules and solids, Boyd examined several quantities derived from the single-electron density \(\rho(r)\), and found that a density contour approach given by Eq. (10) gives the most appropriate measure for the relative sizes of atoms (see also Refs. 22 and 23). Considering 54 atoms from H (\(Z = 1\)) to Xe (\(Z = 54\)) and using the approximate Hartree–Fock density \(\rho(r)\) constructed from Clementi wave functions,\(^{24,25}\) Boyd\(^{19}\) chose \(c_1 = 1 \times 10^{-4}\) so that the following two conditions are satisfied: (i) the atomic radius tends to decrease as \(Z\) increases within a period; (ii) the atomic radius tends to increase as \(Z\) increases within a group. Since the calculated relative radii are substantially larger than the empirical radii due to the small value of \(c_1\), Boyd\(^{19}\) further introduced a scaling procedure,

\[
\bar{R}_1 = 0.1026R_1^{3.234} \quad \text{(in pm)}
\]

(11a)

which scales the theoretical relative radii \(R_1\) down to \(\bar{R}_1\) with the magnitude of the empirical radii, referring to the univalent radii\(^{21}\) of Pauling for the first five rare-gas atoms.

Since it has been established\(^{26,27}\) that the wave functions of Clementi et al.\(^{24,25}\) suffer from nontrivial errors and inaccuracies, we have first recalculated the relative sizes of atoms using the numerical Hartree–Fock electron density \(\rho(r)\).\(^{28}\) Fortunately, the errors in the scaled radii \(\bar{R}_1\) for the atoms H–Xe have been found to be 1 pm at most except for the seven atoms Cr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag, for which an excited state, instead of the ground state,\(^{29,30}\) was calculated by Clementi\(^{25}\) and hence by Boyd.\(^{19}\) For these atoms, the ground-state \(\bar{R}_1\) values are 227, 243, 234, 229, 227, 172, and 225 pm in the order given above. In particular, the Pd atom has an exceptionally small radius (172 pm) among the fifth period atoms because of the vacant 5s orbital.

We have next examined whether Boyd’s prescription for the relative atomic sizes works also for the heavier atoms Cs (\(Z = 55\)) through Lr (\(Z = 103\)). We have found that the condition (i) is not satisfied when we employ \(c_1 = 1 \times 10^{-4}\). For example, the Fr (\(Z = 87\)) and Ra (\(Z = 88\)) atoms were predicted to have \(R_1 = 338\) and 343 pm, respectively. The problem can be easily resolved if we adopt a smaller value for \(c_1\), though the tendencies imposed in the conditions (i) and (ii) are more emphasized. An example is to use \(c_1 = 5 \times 10^{-5}\) together with a linear scaling relation,

\[
\bar{R}_1 = 0.739\bar{R}_1 - 43.3699 \quad \text{(in pm)}
\]

(11b)

which results from a regression analysis of the calculated and empirical univalent radii. We have also examined a regression by a power formula like Eq. (11a), but a linear regression of Eq. (11b) has a better fit. When Eq. (11b) is applied, we have \(\bar{R}_1 = 334\) and 329 pm for the Fr and Ra atoms, respectively. To show a systematic trend, the two sets of scaled radii \(\bar{R}_1\), determined by \(c_1 = 1 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(5 \times 10^{-5}\) in Eq. (10), are exemplified in Table 1 for the fourth period atoms.

In the next section, the radii \(R_1\) obtained from the contour \(c_1 = 5 \times 10^{-5}\) are referred as the relative sizes predicted by the single-electron density in our analysis of the electron-pair radii \(R_{2i}\) and \(R_{2e}\) for the 102 atoms from He to Lr.

III. RADII OF ELECTRON-PAIR DENSITIES

We have first studied the intracule radii \(R_{2i}\) defined by Eq. (9a). The parent intracule densities \(h(u)\) were taken from Refs. 6 and 7 for the atoms He through Xe and from Ref. 13 for the atoms Cs through Lr. These densities were constructed by numerical Hartree–Fock calculations in a manner consistent with the single-electron densities employed in the determination of \(R_1\). For the contour value \(c_{2i}\), we have examined seven cases 1 \(\times 10^{-n}\) with an integer value of \(n\) ranging from 4 to 10. In all the cases, the calculated radii \(R_{2i}\) satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii), though the values increase with increasing \(n\). Moreover, the \(Z\) dependence of \(R_{2i}\) is found to be parallel to that of \(R_1\) for all the \(c_{2i}\) values examined. Figure 1 depicts such parallelism for a few selected cases. In fact, we have observed approximate but good linear correlations between the calculated values of \(R_{2i}\) and \(R_1\).
TABLE I. Comparison of the relative sizes $R_1$, $R_{2i}$, and $R_{2x}$ for the fourth period atoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>$R_1$/pm</th>
<th>$R_{2i}$/pm</th>
<th>$R_{2x}$/pm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contour=1$\times$10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>5$\times$10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1$\times$10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more detailed discussion, we have wished to choose a set of $R_{2i}$ obtained from a particular value of $c_{2i}$. Since two electrons in an atom can be on opposite sides of the nucleus, we may naively expect that an interelectronic radius $R_{2i}$ is approximately twice the electron-nucleus radius $R_1$. Such a situation is observed when we use $c_{2i}=1\times10^{-7}$ (for $c_1=5\times10^{-5}$); the average of the ratios $R_{2i}/R_1$ over the 102 atoms is 2.118. For this value of $c_{2i}$, the correlation between $R_{2i}$ and $R_1$ is demonstrated in Fig. 2. A regressive analysis shows that the correlation is approximated by

$$R_{2i}=2.5229R_1-2.8785,$$

with a correlation coefficient 0.9932. The result implies that the distribution of the electron-pair intracule density $h(u)$ reflects the relative size of an atom defined from the distribution of the single-electron density $\rho(r)$. If we introduce a linear scaling relation,

$$R_{2i}=0.3278R_{2x}-16.8285 \text{ (in pm)}$$

based on the comparison of $R_{2i}$ with the empirical univalent radii,$^{21}$ the scaled radii $R_{2x}$ predict similar sizes as $R_1$ for most of the 102 atoms. Table I explicitly compares the $R_1$ and $R_{2i}$ values for the fourth period atoms K through Kr. The differences between $R_1$ and $R_{2i}$ are less than 5 pm in most cases. However, $R_{2i}$ gives a slightly larger value (10–18 pm) than $R_1$ for the four atoms K, Cr, Cu, and Ga, where the outermost 4s or 4p orbital is singly occupied. An analogous trend is observed for the remaining atoms, and the Fr atom ($Z=87$) with a singly occupied 7s orbital has the largest difference 45 pm. The averages of the absolute and relative deviations over the 102 atoms are 11.4 pm and 4.3%, respectively.
with correlation coefficients 0.9999 and 0.9932, respectively. Equations (14a) and (14b) are consistent with Eq. (12a). Comparison of the $R_{2e}$ values (from $c_{2e} = 8 \times 10^{-7}$) with the univalent radii\(^{21}\) gives a scaling relation

$$R_{2e} = 0.6651 R_{2e} - 18.5773 \quad \text{(in pm)} \quad (14c)$$

for the relative sizes of atoms. The scaled extracule radii $\bar{R}_{2e}$ are essentially the same as $R_{2i}$ for all the 102 atoms; the average difference is 2.2 pm with the maximum 4.7 pm at the Fr atom. Thus the differences between $\bar{R}_{2e}$ and $R_{1}$ are analogous to those between $\bar{R}_{2i}$ and $R_{1}$ discussed before. Examples of $R_{2e}$ are given in Table I for the fourth period atoms.

IV. SUMMARY

When a density contour approach has been applied, the distributions of the electron-pair intracule and extracule densities have been shown to reflect the relative sizes of atoms. For a particular choice of the contour values, we have $R_{2e} \equiv R_{2}/2$ for the extracule $R_{2e}$ and intracule $R_{2i}$ radii. If appropriate scaling relations are introduced, all the three scaled radii, $\bar{R}_{1}$ from the single-electron density, $\bar{R}_{2i}$ from the intracule density, and $\bar{R}_{2e}$ from the extracule density, have been found to predict essentially the same sizes for the 102 atoms from He ($Z=2$) to Fr ($Z=103$). A numerical table of the radii is available upon request.
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