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#### Abstract

For singular plane curves, the classical definitions of envelopes are vague. In order to define envelopes for singular plane curves, we introduce a one-parameter family of Legendre curves in the unit tangent bundle over the Euclidean plane and the curvature. Then we give a definition of an envelope for the one-parameter family of Legendre curves. We investigate properties of the envelopes. For instance, the envelope is also a Legendre curve. Moreover, we consider bi-Legendre curves and give a relationship between envelopes.


## 1 Introduction

Envelopes are classical object in the differential geometry. There are many applications of envelopes to differential geometry, differential equations and physics, for instance $[4,5,7,9,10$, $15,16,18,20]$. An envelope of a family of curves in the plane is a curve that is "tangent" to each member of the family at some point. If the curves are regular, then the tangent is well-defined. However, the definitions of envelopes are vague for singular plane curves (smooth curves with singular points). In this paper, we would like to clarify the definition of the envelope for a family of singular curves. As singular curves, we consider Legendre curves in the unit tangent bundle over $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, see Appendix A (cf. [8]). The basic results on the singularity theory see $[2,4,14,17]$. In $\S 2$, we quickly review on the definitions of envelopes which are given by implicit functions $[3,4,12]$ and parametric curves [11, 19]. In §3, we consider one-parameter families of Legendre curves. We give a moving frame and the curvature of the one-parameter family of Legendre curves. Then we show that the existence and uniqueness theorem for one-parameter families of Legendre curves. In $\S 4$, we define an envelope of a one-parameter family of Legendre curves. Then the envelope is also a Legendre curve and hence we give a curvature of the envelope as a Legendre curve. Moreover, we give relationships between the envelopes given by implicit functions and one-parameter family of Legendre curves. In §5, we define a bi-Legendre curve as a special class of one-parameter family of Legendre curves and give a relationship between envelopes.

All maps and manifolds considered here are differential of class $C^{\infty}$.
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## 2 Previous results

Let $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the Euclidean plane equipped with the inner product $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}$, where $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

We review two definitions of envelopes for one-parameter family of plane curves. These are given by implicit functions and parametrized curves. Here we denote these envelopes by $E_{I}$ and $E_{P}$ respectively. Other related definitions of envelopes see [3, 19, 21].

Let $F: V \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R},(x, y, \lambda) \mapsto F(x, y, \lambda)$ be a smooth function, where $V$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and $\Lambda$ is an interval or $\mathbb{R}$. A family of curves in the plane is given by $\Gamma_{\lambda}=\{(x, y) \in$ $V \mid F(x, y, \lambda)=0\}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then one of the classical definition of the envelope is as follows, see for instance $[3,4]$ :

Definition 2.1 The envelope of the family $F$ is the set $E_{I}$ of points given by

$$
E_{I}=\left\{(x, y) \in V \mid \text { for some } \lambda \in \Lambda, F(x, y, \lambda)=\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda}(x, y, \lambda)=0\right\}
$$

If $F(x, y, \lambda)=(\partial F / \partial \lambda)(x, y, \lambda)=0$, we say that $(x, y) \in E_{I}$ with respect to $\lambda$.
On the other hand, let $\gamma: I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a one-parameter family of smooth parametrized curves, and let $e_{p}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda, e_{p}(u)=(t(u), \lambda(u))$ be a regular curve, where $I, \Lambda$ and $U$ are intervals or $\mathbb{R}$. We denote $\Gamma_{\lambda}(t)=\gamma(t, \lambda)$ and $E_{P}(u)=\gamma \circ e_{p}(u)$.

Definition 2.2 ([11, Page 138]) We call $E_{P}$ an envelope (and $e_{p}$ a pre-envelope) for the family $\gamma$, when the following conditions are satisfied.
(i) The function $\lambda$ is non-constant on any non-trivial subinterval of $U$. (The Variability Condition.)
(ii) For all $u$, the curve $E_{P}$ is tangent at $u$ to the curve $\Gamma_{\lambda(u)}$ at the parameter $t(u)$, meaning that the tangent vectors $E_{P}^{\prime}(u)=\left(d E_{P} / d u\right)(u)$ and $\dot{\Gamma}_{\lambda(u)}(t(u))=\left(d \Gamma_{\lambda(u)} / d t\right)(t(u))$ are linearly dependent. (The Tangency Condition.)

We say that the singular set of $\gamma: I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \gamma(t, \lambda)=(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda))$ is the subset of the domain $I \times \Lambda$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda), \gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{t}(t, \lambda) & y_{t}(t, \lambda)  \tag{1}\\
x_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) & y_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

Here we denote $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)=(\partial \gamma / \partial t)(t, \lambda)=\left(x_{t}(t, \lambda), y_{t}(t, \lambda)\right)$ and $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=(\partial \gamma / \partial \lambda)(t, \lambda)=$ $\left(x_{\lambda}(t, \lambda), y_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)\right)$. Then the envelope theorem is as follows:

Theorem 2.3 ([11, Page 140]) Let $\gamma: I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a family of parametrized curves, and let $e_{p}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ be a regular curve satisfying the variability condition. Then $e_{p}$ is a pre-envelope of $\gamma$ (and $E_{P}$ is an envelope) if and only if the trace of $e_{p}$ lies in the singular set of $\gamma$.

We consider one-parameter families of $3 / 2$-cusps as examples. Other examples see $[3,11]$.
Example 2.4 Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F(x, y, \lambda)=(x-\lambda)^{3}-y^{2}$. Since $(\partial F / \partial \lambda)(x, y, \lambda)=$ $-3(x-\lambda)^{2}$, the envelope is given by $E_{I}=\{(\lambda, 0) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Let $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \gamma(t, \lambda)=\left(t^{2}+\lambda, t^{3}\right)$. Since (1), we have $-3 t^{2}=0$. By Theorem 2.3 , the pre-envelope and the envelope are given by $e_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, e_{p}(u)=(0, u)$ and $E_{P}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, E(u)=(u, 0)$.

Both cases, the envelopes are given by the $x$-axis, see Figure 1 .
Example 2.5 Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F(x, y, \lambda)=x^{3}-(y-\lambda)^{2}$. Since $(\partial F / \partial \lambda)(x, y, \lambda)=$ $-2(y-\lambda)$, the envelope is given by $E_{I}=\{(0, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Let $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \gamma(t, \lambda)=\left(t^{2}, t^{3}+\lambda\right)$. Since (1), we have $2 t=0$. By Theorem 2.3 , the pre-envelope and the envelope are given by $e_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, e_{p}(u)=(0, u)$ and $E_{P}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, E_{P}(u)=(0, u)$.

Both cases, the envelopes are given by the $y$-axis, see Figure 2. However, in the sense of limit tangent of the $3 / 2$-cusp, $y$-axis is not tangent to the $3 / 2$-cusps. Moreover, as a solution of differential equations, the $x$-axis in Figure 1 is a singular solution of the $\mathrm{ODE}-y+\left((2 / 3) y^{\prime}\right)^{3}=0$ and $y$-axis in Figure 2 is not a singular solution of the $\mathrm{ODE}-x+\left((2 / 3) y^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0$ (cf. $\left.[15,16,20]\right)$. We would like to distinguish as envelopes, see Examples 4.2 and 4.3 below.
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Figure 2.

## 3 One parameter families of Legendre curves

In this section, we consider one-parameter families of Legendre curves in the unit tangent bundle $T_{1} S^{2}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ over $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The fundamental results for Legendre curves in the unit tangent bundle over $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ see the Appendix or [8].

Definition 3.1 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a smooth mapping. We say that $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves if $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=0$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$.

Then $(\gamma(\cdot, \lambda), \nu(\cdot, \lambda)): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is a Legendre curve for each fixed parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$, that is, $(\gamma(\cdot, \lambda), \nu(\cdot, \lambda))$ is an integrable curve with respect to the canonical contact 1-form on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. Therefore, $\gamma: I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a one-parameter family of frontals.

We denote $J(\boldsymbol{a})=\left(-a_{2}, a_{1}\right)$ the anticlockwise rotation by $\pi / 2$ of a vector $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. We define $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)=J(\nu(t, \lambda))$. Since $\{\nu(t, \lambda), \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)\}$ is a moving frame along $\gamma(t, \lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have the Frenet type formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{\nu_{t}(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t}(t, \lambda)} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \ell(t, \lambda) \\
-\ell(t, \lambda) & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\nu(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)} \\
\binom{\nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m(t, \lambda) \\
-m(t, \lambda) & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\nu(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)=\beta(t, \lambda) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)
$$

where $\ell(t, \lambda)=\nu_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda), m(t, \lambda)=\nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)$ and $\beta(t, \lambda)=\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)$. By the integrability condition $\nu_{t \lambda}(t, \lambda)=\nu_{\lambda t}(t, \lambda), \ell$ and $m$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=m_{t}(t, \lambda) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$. We call the pair $(\ell, m, \beta)$ with the integrability condition (2) a curvature of the one-parameter family of Legendre curves $(\gamma, \nu)$.

Remark 3.2 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with the curvature $(\ell, m, \beta)$. Then $(\gamma,-\nu)$ is also a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with the curvature $(\ell, m,-\beta)$.

Example 3.3 (Example 2.4) Let $(\gamma, \nu): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \gamma(t, \lambda)=\left(t^{2}+\lambda, t^{3}\right), \nu(t, \lambda)=$ $\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-3 t, 2)$. Since $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)=\left(2 t, 3 t^{2}\right), \nu_{t}(t, \lambda)=6\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}(-2,-3 t), \nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=0$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)=\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-2,-3 t),(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with the curvature $(\ell(t, \lambda), m(t, \lambda), \beta(t, \lambda))=\left(6\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1}, 0,-t\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$.

Example 3.4 (Example 2.5) Let $(\gamma, \nu): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \gamma(t, \lambda)=\left(t^{2}, t^{3}+\lambda\right), \nu(t, \lambda)=$ $\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-3 t, 2)$. Since $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)=\left(2 t, 3 t^{2}\right), \nu_{t}(t, \lambda)=6\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}(-2,-3 t), \nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=0$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)=\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-2,-3 t),(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with the curvature $(\ell(t, \lambda), m(t, \lambda), \beta(t, \lambda))=\left(6\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1}, 0,-t\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$.

Definition 3.5 Let $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu}): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be one-parameter families of Legendre curves. We say that $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as one-parameter family of Legendre curves if there exist a constant rotation $A \in S O(2)$ and a smooth translation mapping $\boldsymbol{a}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}(t, \lambda)=A(\gamma(t, \lambda))+\boldsymbol{a}(\lambda)$ and $\widetilde{\nu}(t, \lambda)=A(\nu(t, \lambda))$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$.

We give the existence and uniqueness theorems for one-parameter families of Legendre curves.

Theorem 3.6 (The Existence Theorem for one-parameter families of Legendre curves.) Let $(\ell, m, \beta): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a smooth mapping with the integrability condition. There exists a one-parameter family of Legendre curves $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ whose associated curvature is $(\ell, m, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \in I \times \Lambda$ be fixed. We define a smooth mapping $\theta: I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\theta(t, \lambda)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \ell(t, \lambda) d t+\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} m\left(t_{0}, \lambda\right) d \lambda
$$

Then $\theta$ satisfy the conditions $\theta_{t}(t, \lambda)=\ell(t, \lambda)$ and $\theta_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=m(t, \lambda)$. We define a smooth mapping $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(t, \lambda) & =\left(-\int \beta(t, \lambda) \sin \theta(t, \lambda) d t, \int \beta(t, \lambda) \cos \theta(t, \lambda) d t\right) \\
\nu(t, \lambda) & =(\cos \theta(t, \lambda), \sin \theta(t, \lambda))
\end{aligned}
$$

By a direct calculation, $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with the curvature $(\ell, m, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.7 (The Uniqueness Theorem for one-parameter families of Legendre curves.) Let $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu}): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be one-parameter families of Legendre curves with the curvatures $(\ell, m, \beta)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta})$ respectively. Then $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as oneparameter family of Legendre curves if and only if $(\ell, m, \beta)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta})$ coincides.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as one-parameter families of Legendre curves. By a direct calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\gamma}_{t}(t, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(A(\gamma(t, \lambda))+\boldsymbol{a}(\lambda))=A\left(\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)\right)=\beta(t, \lambda) A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda))=\beta(t, \lambda) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t, \lambda), \\
\widetilde{\nu}_{t}(t, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(A(\nu(t, \lambda)))=A\left(\nu_{t}(t, \lambda)\right)=\ell(t, \lambda) A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda))=\ell(t, \lambda) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t, \lambda) \\
\widetilde{\nu}_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(A(\nu(t, \lambda)))=A\left(\nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)\right)=m(t, \lambda) A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda))=m(t, \lambda) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t, \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the curvatures $(\ell, m, \beta)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta})$ coincides.
Conversely, suppose that $(\ell, m, \beta)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta})$ coincides. Let $\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \in I \times \Lambda$ be fixed. By using a congruence as one-parameter family of Legendre curves, we may assume $\gamma\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=$ $\widetilde{\gamma}\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ and $\nu\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=\widetilde{\nu}\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$. Moreover, we have $\theta(t, \lambda)=\widetilde{\theta}(t, \lambda)$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$ in the proof of Theorem 3.6. It follows from the construction that we have $\nu(t, \lambda)=\widetilde{\nu}(t, \lambda)$, and $\gamma(t, \lambda)=\widetilde{\gamma}(t, \lambda)$ up to a smooth translation mapping $\boldsymbol{a}(\lambda)$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$.

## 4 Envelopes of Legendre curves

Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with the curvature $(\ell, m, \beta)$, and let $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda, e_{L}(u)=(t(u), \lambda(u))$ be a smooth curve. We denote $\Gamma_{\lambda}(t)=$ $\gamma(t, \lambda)$ and $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}(u)$. Note that we don't assume $e_{L}$ is a regular curve, see section 2.

Definition 4.1 We call $E_{L}$ an envelope (and $e_{L}$ a pre-envelope) for the family of Legendre curves $(\gamma, \nu)$, when the following conditions are satisfied.
(i) The function $\lambda$ is non-constant on any non-trivial subinterval of $U$. (The Variability Condition.)
(ii) For all $u$ the curve $E_{L}$ is tangent at $u$ to the curve $\Gamma_{\lambda(u)}$ at the parameter $t(u)$, meaning that $E_{L}^{\prime}(u)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t(u), \lambda(u))$ are linearly dependent. (The Tangency Condition.)

Note that the tangency condition is equivalent to the condition $E_{L}^{\prime}(u) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$.

Example 4.2 (Example 3.3) Let $(\gamma, \nu): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \gamma(t, \lambda)=\left(t^{2}+\lambda, t^{3}\right), \nu(t, \lambda)=$ $\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-3 t, 2)$. Let $e_{L}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, e_{L}(u)=(t(u), \lambda(u))=(0, u)$. Then $E_{L}(u)=$ $\gamma \circ e_{L}(u)=(u, 0)$. Since $\lambda^{\prime}(u)=1$ and $E_{L}^{\prime}(u) \cdot \nu(0, u)=0, E_{L}$ is an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$.

Example 4.3 (Example 3.4) Let $(\gamma, \nu): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \gamma(t, \lambda)=\left(t^{2}, t^{3}+\lambda\right), \nu(t, \lambda)=$ $\left(4+9 t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-3 t, 2)$. Let $e_{L}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, e_{L}(u)=(t(u), \lambda(u))=(0, u)$. Then $E_{L}(u)=$ $\gamma \circ e_{L}(u)=(0, u)$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(u)=1$. Since $E_{L}^{\prime}(u) \cdot \nu(0, u)=1 \neq 0, E_{L}$ is not an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$.

Proposition 4.4 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with the curvature $(\ell, m, \beta)$. Suppose that $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ is a pre-envelope and $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$. Then $E_{L}$ is a frontal. More preciously, $\left(E_{L}, \nu \circ e_{L}\right): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is a Legendre curve with the curvature

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{E}(u) & =t^{\prime}(u) \ell\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) m\left(e_{L}(u)\right), \\
\beta_{E}(u) & =t^{\prime}(u) \beta\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We denote $e_{L}(u)=(t(u), \lambda(u))$. Since $E_{L}$ is an envelope, $E_{L}^{\prime}(u) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$. It follows that $\left(E_{L}, \nu \circ e_{L}\right): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is a Legendre curve. Then $\ell_{E}(u)=$ $(d / d u)\left(\nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=\left(t^{\prime}(u) \nu_{t}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) \nu_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=t^{\prime}(u) \ell\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+$ $\lambda^{\prime}(u) m\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$ and $\beta_{E}(u)=(d / d u)\left(\gamma\left(e_{L}(u)\right)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=\left(t^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{t}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)\right)$. $\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=t^{\prime}(u) \beta\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$.

We give the envelope theorem for one-parameter family of Legendre curves.
Theorem 4.5 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves, and let $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ be a smooth curve satisfying the variability condition. Then $e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ (and $E_{L}$ is an envelope) if and only if $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$.
Proof. Suppose that $e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$. By the tangency condition, there exists a function $c(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $E_{L}^{\prime}(u)=c(u) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$. By differentiate $E_{L}(u)=\gamma \circ e_{L}(u)$, we have $E_{L}^{\prime}(u)=t^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{t}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$. It follows from $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)=\beta(t, \lambda) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)$ that $\left(t^{\prime}(u) \beta\left(e_{L}(u)\right)-c(u)\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$. Then we have $\lambda^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$. By the variability condition, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$.

Conversely, suppose that $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$. Since $E_{L}^{\prime}(u) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=$ $\left(t^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{t}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)+\lambda^{\prime}(u) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0, e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$.

Example 4.6 Let $i, j, m, n, j, k$ be natural numbers with $j=i+h, n=m+k$. Moreover, we take $h=1$ or $k=1$, or $h, k$ are relatively prime numbers. Let $(\gamma, \nu): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$,

$$
\gamma(t, \lambda)=\left(\frac{t^{m}}{m}+\frac{\lambda^{i}}{i}, \frac{t^{n}}{n}+\frac{\lambda^{j}}{j}\right), \nu(t, \lambda)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{2 k}+1}}\left(-t^{k}, 1\right) .
$$

Since $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)=\left(t^{m-1}, t^{n-1}\right)$, we have $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=0$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=\left(\lambda^{i-1}, \lambda^{j-1}\right)$, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=\left(\lambda^{i-1} / \sqrt{t^{2 k}+1}\right)\left(-t^{k}+\lambda^{h}\right)$. If we take $e_{L}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, e_{L}(u)=\left(u^{h}, u^{k}\right)$, then the variability condition holds. Furthermore, since

$$
\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=\frac{u^{k(i-1)}}{\sqrt{u^{2 k h}+1}}\left(-u^{h k}+u^{h k}\right)=0
$$

$e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ by Theorem 4.5. Hence, the envelope $\left(E_{L}, \nu_{L}\right): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is given by

$$
E_{L}(u)=\left(\frac{u^{m h}}{m}+\frac{u^{i k}}{i}, \frac{u^{n h}}{n}+\frac{u^{j k}}{j}\right), \nu_{L}(u)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{u^{2 k h}+1}}\left(-u^{k h}, 1\right)
$$

Proposition 4.7 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves. Suppose that $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ is a pre-envelope and $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}$ is an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$. Then $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ is also a pre-envelope and $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}$ is also an envelope of $(\gamma,-\nu)$.

Proof. By Remark 3.2, $(\gamma,-\nu)$ is also a one-parameter family of Legendre curves. It follows from Theorem 4.5 that we have the same pre-envelopes and the envelopes of $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\gamma,-\nu)$.

Definition 4.8 We say that a map $\Phi: \widetilde{I} \times \widetilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ is a one-parameter family of parameter change if $\Phi$ is a diffeomorphism and given by the form $\Phi(s, k)=(\phi(s, k), \varphi(k))$.

Proposition 4.9 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves. Suppose that $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ is a pre-envelope, $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}$ is an envelope and $\Phi: \widetilde{I} \times \widetilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ is a one-parameter family of parameter change. Then $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})=(\gamma \circ \Phi, \nu \circ \Phi): \widetilde{I} \times \widetilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is also a one-parameter family of Legendre curves. Moreover, $\Phi^{-1} \circ e_{L}: U \rightarrow \widetilde{I} \times \widetilde{\lambda}$ is a pre-envelope and $E_{L}$ is also an envelope of $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$.
Proof. Since $\widetilde{\gamma}_{s}(s, k)=\phi_{s}(s, k) \gamma_{t}(\Phi(s, k))$ and $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=0$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$, we have $\widetilde{\gamma}_{s}(s, k) \cdot \widetilde{\nu}(s, k)=0$ for all $(s, k) \in \widetilde{I} \times \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Therefore, $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves. By the form of the diffeomorphism $\Phi(s, k)=(\phi(s, k), \varphi(k)), \Phi^{-1}$ : $I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \widetilde{I} \times \widetilde{\Lambda}$ is given by the form $\Phi^{-1}(t, \lambda)=\left(\psi(t, \lambda), \varphi^{-1}(\lambda)\right)$. It follows that $\Phi^{-1} \circ$ $e_{L}(u)=\left(\phi(t(u), \lambda(u)), \varphi^{-1}(\lambda(u))\right)$. Since $(d / d u) \varphi^{-1}(\lambda(u))=\varphi_{\lambda}^{-1}(\lambda(u)) \lambda^{\prime}(u)$, the variability condition holds. Moreover, we have $\widetilde{\gamma}_{k}(s, k) \cdot \widetilde{\nu}(s, k)=\left(\gamma_{t}(\Phi(s, k)) \phi_{k}(s, k)+\gamma_{\lambda}(\Phi(s, k)) \varphi^{\prime}(k)\right)$. $\nu(\Phi(s, k))=\varphi^{\prime}(k) \gamma_{\lambda}(\Phi(s, k)) \cdot \nu(\Phi(s, k))$. It follows that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{k}\left(\Phi^{-1} \circ e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \widetilde{\nu}\left(\Phi^{-1} \circ e_{L}(u)\right)=$ $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda(u))\right) \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$. By Theorem 4.5, $\Phi^{-1} \circ e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\gamma} \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ e_{L}=\gamma \circ \Phi \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ e_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}=E_{L}$ is also an envelope of $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$.

We give a relationship between envelopes which are given by implicit functions (Definition 2.1) and one-parameter families of Legendre curves.

Proposition 4.10 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves, and let $F(x, y, \lambda)=0$ be an implicit function of the one-parameter family of frontals, that is, $F(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0$, where $\gamma(t, \lambda)=(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda))$. If $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ is a pre-envelope and $E_{L}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$, then $E_{L}(U) \subset E_{I}$.

Proof. By differentiate $F(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0$, we have

$$
x_{t}(t, \lambda) F_{x}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)+y_{t}(t, \lambda) F_{y}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0
$$

and

$$
x_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) F_{x}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)+y_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) F_{y}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)+F_{\lambda}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0
$$

Equivalently, $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot\left(F_{x}, F_{y}\right)(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0$ and $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot\left(F_{x}, F_{y}\right)(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)+$ $F_{\lambda}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0$. Since $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves, there exists a function $c(t, \lambda)$ such that $\left(F_{x}, F_{y}\right)(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=c(t, \lambda) \nu(t, \lambda)$. Moreover, $e_{L}(u)=$ $(t(u), \lambda(u))$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$. It follows that $F_{\lambda}(x(t(u), \lambda(u)), y(t(u), \lambda(u)), \lambda(u))=0$. Therefore, we have $E(u)=\gamma \circ e_{L}(u) \in E_{I}$ with respect to $\lambda(u)$ for all $u \in U$.

In order to consider the converse result, we need the following lemma and proposition.
Lemma 4.11 Let $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be smooth maps. Suppose that the set of non-zero points of smooth function $k: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is dense in $U$. If $k(u) \boldsymbol{a}(u)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}(u)$ are linearly dependent, then $\boldsymbol{a}(u)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}(u)$ are linearly dependent for all $u \in U$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{det}(k(u) \boldsymbol{a}(u), \boldsymbol{b}(u))=0$, we have $k(u) \operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{a}(u), \boldsymbol{b}(u))=0$. By the condition and continuous property, we have $\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{a}(u), \boldsymbol{b}(u))=0$ for all $u \in U$.

Proposition 4.12 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves, and let $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ be a smooth curve satisfying the variability condition. If the set of regular points of $\gamma$ on $e_{L}(U)$ is dense in $U$ and the trace of $e_{L}$ lies in the singular set of $\gamma$, then $e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ (and $E_{L}$ is an envelope).

Proof. Since $e_{L}(u)$ belong to the singular set of $\gamma$, we have $\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{t}\left(e_{L}(u)\right), \gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$. Therefore $\gamma_{t}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=\beta\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$ and $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$ are linearly dependent. By the assumption, the set of non-zero points of $\beta \circ e_{L}$ is dense in $U$. It follows from Lemma 4.11 that $\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$ and $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right)$ are linearly dependent. Therefore $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$. By Theorem 4.5, $e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$.

Proposition 4.13 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a one-parameter family of Legendre curves, and let $F(x, y, \lambda)=0$ be an implicit function of the one-parameter family of frontals, that is, $F(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0$, where $\gamma(t, \lambda)=(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda))$. Let $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda, e(u)=$ $(t(u), \lambda(u))$ be a smooth curve satisfying the variability condition. If the set of regular points of $\gamma$ on $e_{L}(U)$ is dense in $U, E_{L}(u)=\gamma \circ e_{L}(u) \in E_{I}$ with respect to $\lambda(u)$ and

$$
\left(F_{x}, F_{y}\right)(x(t(u), \lambda(u)), y(t(u), \lambda(u)), \lambda(u)) \neq(0,0)
$$

for all $u \in U$, then $e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ (and $E_{L}$ is an envelope).
Proof. By differentiate $F(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0$, we have

$$
x_{t}(t, \lambda) F_{x}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)+y_{t}(t, \lambda) F_{y}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0
$$

and

$$
x_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) F_{x}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)+y_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) F_{y}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)+F_{\lambda}(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda), \lambda)=0 .
$$

Since $E_{L}(u)=\gamma \circ e_{L}(u) \in E_{I}$ with respect to $\lambda(u)$, we have $F_{\lambda}(x(t(u), \lambda(u)), y(t(u), \lambda(u)), \lambda(u))=$ 0 . It follows that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{t}(t(u), \lambda(u)) & y_{t}(t(u), \lambda(u)) \\
x_{\lambda}(t(u), \lambda(u)) & y_{\lambda}(t(u), \lambda(u))
\end{array}\right)\binom{F_{x}(x(t(u), \lambda(u)), y(t(u), \lambda(u)), \lambda(u))}{F_{y}(x(t(u), \lambda(u)), y(t(u), \lambda(u)), \lambda(u))}=\binom{0}{0} .
$$

Then the trace of $e_{L}$ lies in the singular set of $\gamma$. By Proposition 4.12, $e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$.

We give interesting examples of envelopes of one-parameter families of Legendre curves by using two Legendre curves. Also see $[6,9,10]$.

Let $\left(\boldsymbol{p}, \nu_{p}\right): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{q}, \nu_{q}\right): \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be Legendre curves with the curvature $\left(\ell_{p}, \beta_{p}\right)$ and $\left(\ell_{q}, \beta_{q}\right)$ respectively, see Appendix A. We denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{p}(t)=\left(p_{1}(t), p_{2}(t)\right), \nu_{p}(t)=\left(\nu_{p 1}(t), \nu_{p 2}(t)\right), \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}(t)=\left(-\nu_{p 2}(t), \nu_{p 1}(t)\right), \\
\boldsymbol{q}(\lambda)=\left(q_{1}(\lambda), q_{2}(\lambda)\right), \nu_{q}(\lambda)=\left(\nu_{q 1}(\lambda), \nu_{q 2}(\lambda)\right), \boldsymbol{\mu}_{q}(\lambda)=\left(-\nu_{q 2}(\lambda), \nu_{q 1}(\lambda)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{p}(0)=(0,0)$ and $\nu_{p}(0)=(0,1)$.
We define $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t, \lambda)=\boldsymbol{q}(\lambda)+A(\theta(\lambda)) \boldsymbol{p}(t), \nu(t, \lambda)=A(\theta(\lambda)) \nu_{p}(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
A(\theta(\lambda))=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta(\lambda) & -\sin \theta(\lambda) \\
\sin \theta(\lambda) & \cos \theta(\lambda)
\end{array}\right)
$$

By a direct calculation, $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves.
First, we consider a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{p}$ along a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{q}$ which satisfying the both unit normal vectors coincide. Suppose that $\nu(0, \lambda)=\nu_{q}(\lambda)$. This means that the unit normal vector of $\gamma$ at $(0, \lambda)$ coincide with the unit normal vector of $\boldsymbol{q}$ at $\lambda$. It follows that $\cos \theta(\lambda)=\nu_{q 2}(\lambda)$ and $\sin \theta(\lambda)=-\nu_{q 1}(\lambda)$. By a direct calculation, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=-\beta_{q}(\lambda) \nu_{p 1}(t)-$ $\ell_{q}(\lambda) \boldsymbol{p}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}(t)$. By a corollary of Theorem 4.5, we have the following.

Corollary 4.14 Under the above notations, let $(\gamma, \nu)$ be given by (3) with the conditions $\boldsymbol{p}(0)=$ $(0,0), \nu_{p}(0)=(0,1)$ and $\nu(0, \lambda)=\nu_{q}(\lambda)$. Let $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ be a smooth curve satisfying the variability condition. If $\beta_{q}(\lambda(u)) \nu_{p 1}(t(u))+\ell_{q}(\lambda(u)) \boldsymbol{p}(t(u)) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}(t(u))=0$, then $e_{L}$ is a preenvelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ (and $E_{L}$ is an envelope).

Note that $e_{L}(u)=(0, u)$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$. Thus, $E_{L}(u)=\boldsymbol{q}(\lambda)$ is always an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$.

Second, we consider a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{p}$ along a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{q}$ which satisfying the unit normal vector of $\boldsymbol{p}$ coincide with the tangent vector of $\boldsymbol{q}$. Suppose that $\nu(0, \lambda)=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q}(\lambda)$. This means that the unit normal vector of $\gamma$ at $(0, \lambda)$ coincide with the unit tangent vector of $\boldsymbol{q}$ at $\lambda$. It follows that $\cos \theta(\lambda)=\nu_{q 1}(\lambda)$ and $\sin \theta(\lambda)=\nu_{q 2}(\lambda)$. By a direct calculation, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=\beta_{q}(\lambda) \nu_{p 2}(t)-\ell_{q}(\lambda) \boldsymbol{p}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}(t)$. By a corollary of Theorem 4.5, we have the following.

Corollary 4.15 Under the above notations, let $(\gamma, \nu)$ be given by (3) with the conditions $\boldsymbol{p}(0)=$ $(0,0), \nu_{p}(0)=(0,1)$ and $\nu(0, \lambda)=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q}(\lambda)$. Let $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda$ be a smooth curve satisfying the variability condition. If $\beta_{q}(\lambda(u)) \nu_{p 2}(t(u))-\ell_{q}(\lambda(u)) \boldsymbol{p}(t(u)) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}(t(u))=0$, then $e_{L}$ is a pre-envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ (and $E_{L}$ is an envelope).

Example 4.16 Let $\left(\boldsymbol{p}, \nu_{p}\right):[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be an astroid $\boldsymbol{p}(t)=\left(\cos ^{3} t-1, \sin ^{3} t\right), \nu_{p}(t)=$ $(\sin t, \cos t)$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{q}, \nu_{q}\right):[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be the unit circle $\boldsymbol{q}(\lambda)=(\cos \lambda, \sin \lambda), \nu_{q}(\lambda)=$ $(\cos \lambda, \sin \lambda)$, see Figure 3. Then we have $\beta_{p}(t)=3 \cos t \sin t, \ell_{p}(t)=-1, \beta_{q}(\lambda)=1$ and $\ell_{q}(\lambda)=1$. Moreover, the conditions $\boldsymbol{p}(0)=(0,0)$ and $\nu_{p}(0)=(0,1)$ are satisfied.

First, we consider a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{p}$ along a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{q}$ which satisfying the both unit normal vectors coincide. By (3) and the condition $\nu(0, \lambda)=\nu_{q}(\lambda)$, the one-parameter family of Legendre curves $(\gamma, \nu):[0,2 \pi) \times[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(t, \lambda) & =\binom{\cos \lambda}{\sin \lambda}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \\
-\cos \lambda & \sin \lambda
\end{array}\right)\binom{\cos ^{3} t-1}{\sin ^{3} t}, \\
\nu(t, \lambda) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \\
-\cos \lambda & \sin \lambda
\end{array}\right)\binom{\sin t}{\cos t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a direct calculation, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=-4 \cos (t-(\pi / 4)) \cos ((t / 2)-(\pi / 4)) \sin t / 2$. It follows that $e_{L}:[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow[0,2 \pi) \times[0,2 \pi), e_{L}(u)=(0, u),(3 \pi / 4, u),(3 \pi / 2, u),(7 \pi / 4, u)$ are preenvelopes of $(\gamma, \nu)$ respectively, by Corollary 4.14. Therefore, the envelopes $E_{L}:[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ of $(\gamma, \nu)$ are given by $E_{L}(u)=(\cos u, \sin u),(\sqrt{2}+(1 / 2))(\cos (u+\pi / 4), \sin (u+\pi / 4)),(\cos (u+$ $\pi / 2), \sin (u+\pi / 2)),(\sqrt{2}-(1 / 2))(\cos (u+\pi / 4), \sin (u+\pi / 4))$, respectively see Figure 4 left.

Second, we consider a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{p}$ along a Legendre curve $\boldsymbol{q}$ which satisfying the unit normal vector of $\boldsymbol{p}$ coincide with the tangent vector of $\boldsymbol{q}$. By (3) and the condition $\nu(0, \lambda)=$ $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q}(\lambda)=(-\sin \lambda, \cos \lambda)$, the one-parameter family of Legendre curves $(\gamma, \nu):[0,2 \pi) \times[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(t, \lambda) & =\binom{\cos \lambda}{\sin \lambda}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\
\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda
\end{array}\right)\binom{\cos ^{3} t-1}{\sin ^{3} t} \\
\nu(t, \lambda) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\
\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda
\end{array}\right)\binom{\sin t}{\cos t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a direct calculation, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=\cos 2 t$. It follows that $e_{L}:[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow[0,2 \pi) \times$ $[0,2 \pi), e_{L}(u)=(\pi / 4, u),(3 \pi / 4, u),(5 \pi / 4, u),(7 \pi / 4, u)$ are pre-envelopes of $(\gamma, \nu)$ respectively, by Corollary 4.15. Therefore the envelopes $E_{L}:[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ of $(\gamma, \nu)$ are given by $E_{L}(u)=$ $(1 / 2)(\cos (u+\pi / 4), \sin (u+\pi / 4)),(1 / 2)(\cos (u+3 \pi / 4), \sin (u+3 \pi / 4)),(1 / 2)(\cos (u+5 \pi / 4), \sin (u+$ $5 \pi / 4)),(1 / 2)(\cos (u+7 \pi / 4), \sin (u+7 \pi / 4))$, respectively see Figure 4 right.
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## 5 Bi-Legendre curves and envelopes

We consider a special class of one-parameter families of Legendre curves. Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a smooth mapping.

Definition 5.1 We say that $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is a bi-Legendre curve if $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=0$ and $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=0$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$.

Then $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with respect to both parameters $t$ and $\lambda$. We define $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)=J(\nu(t, \lambda))$. Since $\{\nu(t, \lambda), \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)\}$ is a moving frame along $\gamma(t, \lambda)$, we have the Frenet type formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{\nu_{t}(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t}(t, \lambda)} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \ell(t, \lambda) \\
-\ell(t, \lambda) & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\nu(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)}, \\
\binom{\nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m(t, \lambda) \\
-m(t, \lambda) & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\nu(t, \lambda)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)}, \\
\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) & =\beta(t, \lambda) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda), \\
\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) & =\alpha(t, \lambda) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell(t, \lambda)=\nu_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda), m(t, \lambda)=\nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda), \\
& \beta(t, \lambda)=\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda), \alpha(t, \lambda)=\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the integrability conditions $\nu_{t \lambda}(t, \lambda)=\nu_{\lambda t}(t, \lambda), \gamma_{t \lambda}(t, \lambda)=\gamma_{\lambda t}(t, \lambda), \ell, m, \beta, \alpha$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=m_{t}(t, \lambda), \beta_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=\alpha_{t}(t, \lambda), \ell(t, \lambda) \alpha(t, \lambda)=m(t, \lambda) \beta(t, \lambda) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$. We call the pair $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha)$ with the integrability conditions (4) a curvature of the bi-Legendre curve $(\gamma, \nu)$.

Definition 5.2 Let $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu}): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be bi-Legendre curves. We say that $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as bi-Legendre curves if there exist a constant rotation $A \in S O(2)$ and a translation $\boldsymbol{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}(t, \lambda)=A(\gamma(t, \lambda))+\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}(t, \lambda)=A(\nu(t, \lambda))$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$.

Theorem 5.3 (The Existence Theorem for bi-Legendre curves.) Let ( $\ell, m, \beta, \alpha): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ be a smooth mapping with the integrability conditions. There exists a bi-Legendre curve $(\gamma, \nu)$ : $I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ whose associated curvature is $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \in I \times \Lambda$ be fixed. We define a smooth mapping $\theta: I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\theta(t, \lambda)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \ell(t, \lambda) d t+\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} m\left(t_{0}, \lambda\right) d \lambda
$$

Then $\theta$ satisfy the conditions $\theta_{t}(t, \lambda)=\ell(t, \lambda)$ and $\theta_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=m(t, \lambda)$. We also define a smooth mapping $(x, y): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t, \lambda)=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta(t, \lambda) \sin \theta(t, \lambda) d t-\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \alpha\left(t_{0}, \lambda\right) \sin \theta\left(t_{0}, \lambda\right) d \lambda \\
& y(t, \lambda)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta(t, \lambda) \cos \theta(t, \lambda) d t+\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \alpha\left(t_{0}, \lambda\right) \cos \theta\left(t_{0}, \lambda\right) d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

By the integrability condition (4), we have

$$
x_{t}(t, \lambda)=-\beta(t, \lambda) \sin \theta(t, \lambda), x_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=-\alpha(t, \lambda) \sin \theta(t, \lambda),
$$

$$
y_{t}(t, \lambda)=\beta(t, \lambda) \cos \theta(t, \lambda), y_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=\alpha(t, \lambda) \cos \theta(t, \lambda)
$$

We define a smooth mapping $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ by

$$
\gamma(t, \lambda)=(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda)), \nu(t, \lambda)=(\cos \theta(t, \lambda), \sin \theta(t, \lambda))
$$

By a direct calculation, $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a bi-Legendre curve with the curvature $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha)$.

Theorem 5.4 (The Uniqueness Theorem for bi-Legendre curves.) Let $(\gamma, \nu)_{\sim}$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ : $I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be bi-Legendre curves with the curvatures $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\alpha})$ respectively. Then $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as bi-Legendre curves if and only if $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\alpha})$ coincides.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as bi-Legendre curves. By a direct calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\gamma}_{t}(t, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(A(\gamma(t, \lambda))+\boldsymbol{a})=A\left(\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)\right)=\beta(t, \lambda) A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda))=\beta(t, \lambda) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t, \lambda) \\
\widetilde{\gamma}_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(A(\gamma(t, \lambda))+\boldsymbol{a})=A\left(\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)\right)=\alpha(t, \lambda) A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda))=\alpha(t, \lambda) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t, \lambda) \\
\widetilde{\nu}_{t}(t, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(\nu(t, \lambda))=A\left(\nu_{t}(t, \lambda)\right)=\ell(t, \lambda) A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda))=\ell(t, \lambda) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t, \lambda) \\
\widetilde{\nu}_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} A(\nu(t, \lambda))=A\left(\nu_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)\right)=m(t, \lambda) A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda))=m(t, \lambda) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t, \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the curvatures $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\alpha})$ coincides.
Conversely, suppose that $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\alpha})$ coincides. Let $\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \in I \times \Lambda$ be fixed. By using a congruence as bi-Legendre curves, $\gamma\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=\widetilde{\gamma}\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ and $\nu\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=\widetilde{\nu}\left(t_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$. Moreover, we have $\theta(t, \lambda)=\widetilde{\theta}(t, \lambda)$ in the proof of Theorem 5.3. It follows from the construction that $\nu(t, \lambda)=\widetilde{\nu}(t, \lambda)$ and $\gamma(t, \lambda)=\widetilde{\gamma}(t, \lambda)$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$.

Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a bi-Legendre curve. Then $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with respect to the parameter $\lambda$. We denote a smooth map $e_{L}$ : $U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda, e_{L}(u)=(t(u), \lambda(u))$. Since $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=0$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$, we have $\gamma_{\lambda}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$. If the function $\lambda$ is non-constant on any non-trivial subinterval of $U$, then $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}$ is an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ with respect to the parameter $\lambda$ by Theorem 4.5. Moreover, $(\gamma, \nu)$ is also a one-parameter family of Legendre curves with respect to the parameter $t$. Since $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda) \cdot \nu(t, \lambda)=0$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$, we have $\gamma_{t}\left(e_{L}(u)\right) \cdot \nu\left(e_{L}(u)\right)=0$ for all $u \in U$. If the function $t$ is non-constant on any non-trivial subinterval of $U$, then $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}$ is an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ with respect to the parameter $t$ by Theorem 4.5. Summary we have the following result.

Proposition 5.5 Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a bi-Legendre curve. If $e_{L}: U \rightarrow I \times \Lambda, e_{L}(u)=$ $(t(u), \lambda(u))$ satisfy the conditions that the functions $t$ and $\lambda$ are non-constant on any non-trivial subinterval of $U$, then $E_{L}=\gamma \circ e_{L}$ is an envelope of $(\gamma, \nu)$ with respect to the both parameter $t$ and $\lambda$ respectively.

Let $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a bi-Legendre curve. Since $\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda)=\beta(t, \lambda) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)$ and $\gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=\alpha(t, \lambda) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, \lambda)$, we have $\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{t}(t, \lambda), \gamma_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)\right)=0$ for all $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$. It follows that for any $(t, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$ are singular points of $\gamma: I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Hence, at a rank 1 point, the image of $\gamma$ is a curve at least locally. We give a concrete example of bi-Legendre curves.

Example 5.6 Let $k, n$ be natural numbers. We define $(\ell, m, \beta, \alpha): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ by $\ell(t, \lambda)=$ $\lambda^{k+1} t^{k}, m(t, \lambda)=\lambda^{k} t^{k+1}, \beta(t, \lambda)=\lambda^{n+1} t^{n}, \alpha(t, \lambda)=\lambda^{n} t^{n+1}$. Then the integrability conditions $\ell_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=m_{t}(t, \lambda), \beta_{\lambda}(t, \lambda)=\alpha_{t}(t, \lambda), \alpha(t, \lambda) \ell(t, \lambda)=\beta(t, \lambda) m(t, \lambda)$ hold for all $(t, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. It follows that $\theta(t, \lambda)=\lambda^{k+1} t^{k+1} /(k+1)$. By the construction in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we give a bi-Legendre curve $(\gamma, \nu): I \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(t, \lambda) & =(x(t, \lambda), y(t, \lambda))=\left(-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda^{n+1} t^{n} \sin \left(\frac{\lambda^{k+1} t^{k+1}}{k+1}\right) d t, \int_{0}^{\lambda} \lambda^{n+1} t^{n} \cos \left(\frac{\lambda^{k+1} t^{k+1}}{k+1}\right) d t\right) \\
\nu(t, \lambda) & =(\cos \theta(t, \lambda), \sin \theta(t, \lambda))=\left(\cos \left(\frac{\lambda^{k+1} t^{k+1}}{k+1}\right), \sin \left(\frac{\lambda^{k+1} t^{k+1}}{k+1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## A Legendre curves in the unit tangent bundle

We quickly review on the theory of Legendre curves in the unit tangent bundle over $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, see detail [8]. We say that $(\gamma, \nu): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is a Legendre curve if $(\gamma, \nu)^{*} \theta=0$ for all $t \in I$, where $\theta$ is a canonical contact form on the unit tangent bundle $T_{1} \mathbb{R}^{2}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ over $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (cf. [1, 2]). This condition is equivalent to $\dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot \nu(t)=0$ for all $t \in I$. We say that $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a frontal if there exists $\nu: I \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $(\gamma, \nu)$ is a Legendre curve. Examples of Legendre curves see $[13,14]$. We have the Frenet formula of a frontal $\gamma$ as follows. We put on $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)=J(\nu(t))$. Then we call the pair $\{\nu(t), \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)\}$ a moving frame of a frontal $\gamma(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and we have the Frenet formula of a frontal (or, Legendre curve),

$$
\binom{\dot{\nu}(t)}{\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \ell(t) \\
-\ell(t) & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\nu(t)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)}, \dot{\gamma}(t)=\beta(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t),
$$

where $\ell(t)=\dot{\nu}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)$ and $\beta(t)=\dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)$. We call the pair $(\ell, \beta)$ the curvature of the Legendre curve.

Definition A. 1 Let $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu}): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be Legendre curves. We say that $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as Legendre curves if there exist a constant rotation $A \in S O(2)$ and a translation $\boldsymbol{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}(t)=A(\gamma(t))+\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}(t)=A(\nu(t))$ for all $t \in I$.

Theorem A. 2 (The Existence Theorem for Legendre curves.) Let $(\ell, \beta): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a smooth mapping. There exists a Legendre curve $(\gamma, \nu): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ whose associated curvature of the Legendre curve is $(\ell, \beta)$.

Theorem A. 3 (The Uniqueness Theorem for Legendre curves.) Let $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu}): I \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be Legendre curves with the curvatures of Legendre curves $(\ell, \beta)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\beta})$. Then $(\gamma, \nu)$ and $(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\nu})$ are congruent as Legendre curves if and only if $(\ell, \beta)$ and $(\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\beta})$ coincides.
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