Generating functions for permutation representations

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: Elsevier 公開日: 2019-05-20 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: 竹ケ原, 裕元 メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10258/00009882

Generating Functions for Permutation Representations

Yugen Takegahara

Muroran Institute of Technology, 27-1 Mizumoto, Muroran 050-8585, Japan
E-mail: yugen@mmm.muroran-it.ac.jp

We discuss the categorical approach to representations in wreath products, and generalize the Wohlfahrt formula of the exponential generating function for the number of permutation representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that a group A contains only a finite number of subgroups of index d for each positive integer d. As an instance, every finitely generated group satisfies such a property. By the hypothesis, $|\mathrm{Hom}(A,H)| := \sharp\mathrm{Hom}(A,H) < \infty$ for an arbitrary finite group H, where $\mathrm{Hom}(A,H)$ denotes the set of homomorphisms from A to H. Given a sequence $K_0, K_1, K_2, \dots$ of finite groups K_n such that the first term is the group consisting of only the identity ϵ, we call the exponential generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathrm{Hom}(A,K_n)|t^n/n!$ the *Wohlfahrt series* for K_n. A typical example of such a formal power series comes from the sequence of the symmetric group S_n on $[n] := \{1,2,\dots,n\}$. In the paper [9], Wohlfahrt proved that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A,S_n)|}{n!}\, t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A} \frac{1}{|A:B|}\, t^{|A:B|}\right), \tag{1}$$

where the sum $\sum_{B\le_f A}$ is over all subgroups B of A of finite index $|A:B|$.

Throughout the paper, G is a finite group, A_n is the alternating group on $[n]$, $W(D_n)$ is the Weyl group of type D_n, and $G\wr S_n$ and $G\wr A_n$ are the wreath products of G with S_n and A_n, respectively. The exponential formula of the Wohlfahrt series for $G\wr S_n$ is given in the recent papers [4, 8] (cf. Corollary 1). It is also shown in the papers [2, 6] when A is a finite cyclic group. If K_n is either $G\wr A_n$ or $W(D_n)$, the exponential generating function for the number of solutions in K_n to the equation $x^d = \epsilon$ was found by Chigira [2]; see also [4].

Recently, Yoshida has developed the theory of generating functions from the categorical point of view, and has presented many applications [10]. In this paper, we carry out investigations into applications of the categorical theory.

2000*Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05A15, 20B30, 20B40, 20E22.
Keyword : wreath product, generating function, permutation representation.

We refer to the paper [10] for the notation and terminology on categories. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a category with any finite coproducts. A connected object J of $\mathscr{E}$ is a noninitial object satisfying the condition that $J = A + B$ implies that A or B is an initial object [10, 5.5]. The category $\mathscr{E}$ is a KS-category if the following condition holds [10, 5.5]:

KS Property. Any object X is isomorphic to a coproduct of some finite number of connected objects and a coproduct decomposition of X is unique in the following sense : if $X = I_1 + \cdots + I_m = J_1 + \cdots + J_n$ are two coproduct decompositions into connected objects with canonical injections $i_\alpha : I_\alpha \to X$ and $j_\beta : J_\beta \to X$, then $m = n$ and there exist a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ and isomorphisms $f_\alpha : I_\alpha \to J_{\pi(\alpha)}$ such that $j_{\pi(\alpha)} f_\alpha = i_\alpha$ for all $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$.

A category is skeletally small if a full subcategory consisting of complete representatives of the isomorphism classes of objects is equivalent to a small category [10, 2.1]. Suppose that $\mathscr{E}$ is a skeletally small KS-category. Let $\mathbb{Q}[[\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}}/\cong]]$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-module of formal power series with exponents in $\mathscr{E}$: $f(t) = \sum'_{X\in\mathscr{E}} a_X t^X$, $a_X \in \mathbb{Q}$, the summation $\sum'_{X\in\mathscr{E}}$ being over all isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathscr{E}$; the variables t^X is considered as the isomorphism class containing X in the dual category $\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$, and hence $t^X = t^Y$ if $X \cong Y$ [10, 4.1]. By the product operation $t^X \cdot t^Y = t^{X+Y}$, $t^{\emptyset} = 1$, where $\emptyset$ is an initial object of $\mathscr{E}$, $\mathbb{Q}[[\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}}/\cong]]$ becomes an $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra [10, 5.1, 5.2]. A category is locally finite if every hom set $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$ is a finite set [10, 2.1]. If $\mathscr{E}$ is locally finite, then the generating function of $\mathscr{E}$ is

$$\mathscr{E}(t) := \sum_{X\in\mathscr{E}}{}' \frac{t^X}{|\mathrm{Aut}(X)|} \in \mathbb{Q}[[\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}}/\cong]]$$

[10, 4.2]. According to [10, 6.4], the Wohlfahrt formula (1) is verified on the basis of a categorical result, namely,

[10, 5.8. Theorem] *Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a skeletally small KS category and let $\mathscr{J} := \mathbf{Con}(\mathscr{E})$ be the full subcategory of connected objects of $\mathscr{E}$. If $\mathscr{E}$ is locally finite, then*

$$\mathscr{E}(t) = \exp(\mathscr{J}(t)).$$

Here the power series $\mathscr{J}(t)$ is viewed as an element of $\mathbb{Q}[[\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}}/\cong]]$ through the canonical embedding $\mathscr{J} \subseteq \mathscr{E}$.

In Section 3, we apply [10, 5.8. Theorem] to a certain category related to (A, G)-bisets, and obtain the principle of enumerating the homomorphisms from A to $G \wr S_n$ (cf. Proposition 5). Further, we can find various Wohlfahrt series in Sections 4 and 5. We give the notation and an outline of the results.

Notation

(a) Let B be a subgroup of A. We denote by A/B the left A-set consisting of all left cosets of B in A with the action given by $a.xB = axB$ for all $a, x \in A$. Define $\mathrm{sgn}_B : A \to \{1, -1\}$ by $\mathrm{sgn}_B(a) = 1$ if a is an even permutation on A/B, and $\mathrm{sgn}_B(a) = -1$ otherwise. It is evident that sgn_B is a homomorphism.

(b) The letter p always stands for a prime. Let ω be a primitive p-th root of 1 and $\langle\omega\rangle$ a cyclic group generated by ω. We denote by $\Phi_p(A)$ the intersection of all kernels of homomorphisms from A to $\langle\omega\rangle$. Then $\Phi_p(A)$ is a normal subgroup of A of finite index, and the factor group $A/\Phi_p(A)$ is an elementary abelian p-group. Also, $\Phi_p(A)$ is contained in the kernel of any homomorphism from A to an elementary abelian p-group. Let $\mathscr{C}_p(A)$ denote the set of minimal subgroups of $A/\Phi_p(A)$. Each element of $\mathscr{C}_p(A)$ is a cyclic group of order p, and is of the form $\langle\overline{c}\rangle$ for an element $c \in A - \Phi_p(A)$ with $c^p \in \Phi_p(A)$, where $\overline{c}$ denotes the left coset $c\,\Phi_p(A)$ of $\Phi_p(A)$ in A.

(c) Let B be a subgroup of A of index n, and let $T_B = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a left transversal of B. The transfer $V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}$ from A to $B/\Phi_p(B)$ is defined by

$$V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(a) := \prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{j'}^{-1} a a_j \Phi_p(B), \quad \text{where} \quad a a_j B = a_{j'} B,$$

for all $a \in A$. It is well-known that $V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}$ is independent of the choice of T_B and is a homomorphism.

If a sequence $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots$ of homomorphisms $\chi_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(G \wr S_n, \langle\omega\rangle)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ satisfies a certain condition, then the Wohlfahrt series for $\mathrm{Ker}\,\chi_n$ is described by a summation of exponential formulas to which sgn_B and $V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}$ are closely related (cf. Theorems 1, 2, and 3). In particular, we can present the Wohlfahrt series for $G \wr A_n$ (cf. Corollary 2). As for $W(D_n)$, the result can be considered in a generalized situation (cf. Corollary 3).

2. A CATEGORICAL VIEW OF $\mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)$

We start with the definition of the wreath product of two groups (see, e.g., [3, Chapter I, 2.1]). For each finite set X, let G^X be the set of mappings from X to G. The wreath product $G \wr H$ of G with a permutation group H on a finite set X is the cartesian product $G^X \times H$ with the composition law

$$(f; \pi)(f_*; \pi_*) := (f \cdot (f_* \circ \pi^{-1}); \pi\pi_*), \quad (f; \pi), (f_*; \pi_*) \in G^X \times H,$$

where $(f \cdot (f_* \circ \pi^{-1}))(x) = f(x) f_*(\pi^{-1}(x))$ for all $x \in X$. In particular, $G \wr S_n$ is the wreath product of G with a symmetric group S_n on $[n]$.

For any right G-set Y, let $\mathrm{Aut}_G(Y)$ denote the group of automorphisms of Y as a right G-set, and let Y/G denote the set of G-orbits. For any set X, we consider the cartesian product $G \times X$ of G and X to be the free right G-set with the right action of G given by $(g, x).h = (gh, x)$ for all $(g, x) \in G \times X$ and $h \in G$. The following proposition, which has been shown in the proof of [1, Proposition 6.11], plays an important role in our theory.

Proposition 1 *Let X be a finite set, and let S_X be the symmetric group on X. If $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_G(G \times X)$, then there exists a unique pair $(\xi, \beta) \in G^X \times S_X$ such that $\gamma(g, x) = (\xi(x)g, \beta(x))$ for all $(g, x) \in G \times X$. Further, this correspondence from $\mathrm{Aut}_G(G \times X)$ to $G^X \times S_X$ is bijective.*

Using Proposition 1, we identify $G \wr S_n$ with $\mathrm{Aut}_G(G \times [n])$ so that an element $(f; \pi) \in G \wr S_n$ is regarded as an automorphism $\gamma_{(f;\pi)} \in \mathrm{Aut}_G(G \times [n])$ satisfying $\gamma_{(f;\pi)}(g, i) = (f(\pi(i))g, \pi(i))$ for all $(g, i) \in G \times [n]$ (see also [3, 2.11]). Further, if Y is a free right G-set and if $n = |Y/G|$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_G(Y) \cong G \wr S_n$, because, for a system of representatives Ω of Y/G, $Y \cong G \times \Omega$ as right G-sets [1, Proposition 6.11].

A right G-set Y with the left action of A given by a homomorphism from A to $\mathrm{Aut}_G(Y)$ is called an (A, G)-biset. Also, an (A, G)-biset Y is said to be finite G-free if it is finite free as a right G-set. A mapping σ between (A, G)-bisets is called a morphism of (A, G)-bisets if it is a morphism both of left A-sets and of right G-sets.

Definition 1 The category G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^{A}$ is defined as follows :

- The objects are triples (Y, σ, X), where Y is a finite G-free (A, G)-biset, X is a finite left A-set, viewed as an (A, G)-biset with the trivial right action of G, and $\sigma : Y \to X$ is a morphism of (A, G)-bisets, inducing an isomorphism of left A-sets $\overline{\sigma} : Y/G \to X$;
- A morphism $(Y, \sigma, X) \to (Y', \sigma', X')$ is a pair (γ, β), where $\gamma : Y \to Y'$ and $\beta : X \to X'$ are morphisms of (A, G)-bisets, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Y' \\ {\scriptstyle\sigma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma'} \\ X & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} & X' \end{array}$$

  is commutative. The composition is given by $(\gamma, \beta) \circ (\gamma', \beta') := (\gamma \circ \gamma', \beta \circ \beta')$, and the identity $Id_{(Y,\sigma,X)}$ is the pair (Id_Y, Id_X) of the identities $Id_Y : Y \to Y$ and $Id_X : X \to X$.

For any set X, $Pr : G \times X \to X$ denotes the projection. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)$, then we denote by $(G \times [n])_\varphi$ the object $(G \times [n], Pr, [n])$ of G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^{A}$ coming from the left action φ of A on $G \times [n]$.

Proposition 2 *The following statements hold.*

(a) *Suppose that* (Y, σ, X) *is an object of* G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$ *and that* $n = |X|$. *Then there exists a homomorphism* $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)$ *such that* $(G \times [n])_\varphi \cong (Y, \sigma, X)$.

(b) *Let* $(G \times [n])_\varphi$, $(G \times [n])_{\varphi_*}$ *be a pair of objects in* G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$. *Then the set of isomorphisms from* $(G \times [n])_\varphi$ *to* $(G \times [n])_{\varphi_*}$ *consists of all pairs* (γ, π) *with* $\gamma = (f; \pi) \in G \wr S_n$ *such that* $\varphi_*(a) = \gamma\varphi(a)\gamma^{-1}$ *for all* $a \in A$.

Proof. Let Ω be a system of representatives of Y/G, and let $\gamma : G \times \Omega \to Y$ be the isomorphism of right G-sets defined by $\gamma(g, x) = xg$ for all $(g, x) \in G \times \Omega$. Let $\tau : Y \to Y/G$ be the morphism of left A-sets such that, for all $y \in Y$, $\tau(y)$ is the G-orbit containing y. We now suppose that A acts on Y via a homomorphism $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathrm{Aut}_G(Y))$. Then there exists an object $(G \times \Omega, \tau \circ \gamma, Y/G)$ of G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$ coming from the left action ψ of A on $G \times \Omega$ defined by $\eta(a) \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \psi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Further, $(\gamma, \overline{\sigma}) : (G \times \Omega, \tau \circ \gamma, Y/G) \to (Y, \sigma, X)$ is an isomorphism and there exists a homomorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)$ such that $(G \times [n])_\varphi \cong (G \times \Omega, \tau \circ \gamma, Y/G)$, whence (a) follows. The statement (b) is straightforward. This completes the proof of Proposition 2. □

3. THE WOHLFAHRT SERIES FOR $G \wr S_n$

The goal in this section is to give the exponential formula of the Wohlfahrt series for the wreath product $G \wr S_n$.

Given a pair of (A, G)-bisets Y_1, Y_2, their disjoint union $Y_1 \dot{\cup} Y_2$ is an (A, G)-biset. The sum of a pair of objects (Y, σ, X), (Y', σ', X') in G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$ is

$$(Y, \sigma, X) + (Y', \sigma', X') := (Y \dot{\cup} Y', \sigma \dot{\cup} \sigma', X \dot{\cup} X')$$

where $(\sigma \dot{\cup} \sigma')(y) = \sigma(y)$ if $y \in Y$ and $(\sigma \dot{\cup} \sigma')(y) = \sigma'(y)$ if $y \in Y'$, which is a coproduct in G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$. For any object (Y, σ, X) of G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$, the left A-set X is a disjoint union of the A-orbits, say $X_1, X_2, \ldots$, and also Y is a disjoint union of finite G-free (A, G)-bisets, say $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$, such that σ induces morphisms of (A, G)-bisets $\sigma_i : Y_i \to X_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, which yields $(Y, \sigma, X) = (Y_1, \sigma_1, X_1) + (Y_2, \sigma_2, X_2) + \cdots$. Thus an object (Y, σ, X) of G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$ is connected if and only if X is a non-empty transitive left A-set, or equivalently, there is a subgroup B of A of finite index such that $A/B \cong X$ as left A-sets.

Lemma 1 *Let* B *be a subgroup of* A *of index* n *and* $T_B = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ *a left transversal of* B. *Suppose that* $\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, G)$ *and that* $\theta \in G^{T_B}$. *Then there exists a mapping* $\varphi_{(T_B, \kappa, \theta)} : A \to \mathrm{Aut}_G(G \times (A/B))$ *such that*

$$\varphi_{(T_B, \kappa, \theta)}(a)(g, a_j B) = (\theta(a_{j'})\kappa(a_{j'}^{-1} a a_j)\theta(a_j)^{-1} g, a_{j'} B), \quad \text{where} \quad a a_j B = a_{j'} B,$$

for all $a \in A$, $g \in G$, *and* $j \in [n]$. *Further, it is a homomorphism.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from Proposition 1. It is easy to see that $\varphi_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$ is a homomorphism. □

Definition 2 Under the notation of Lemma 1, we denote by $(G \times [T_B])_{(\kappa,\theta)}$ the object $(G\times(A/B), Pr, A/B)$ coming from the left action $\varphi_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$ of A on $G\times(A/B)$.

Let ϵ_A and ϵ_G be the identities of A and G, respectively. The following proposition enables us to determine the isomorphism classes of connected objects of G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$.

Proposition 3 *Let B be a subgroup of A of finite index and T_B a left transversal of B containing ϵ_A. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathrm{Aut}_G(G \times (A/B)))$ and that, for each element a of A, there is a mapping $\xi_a \in G^{A/B}$ with $\varphi(a)(g, xB) = (\xi_a(xB)g, axB)$ for all $(g, xB) \in G \times (A/B)$. Then there is a unique pair $(\kappa, \theta) \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, G) \times G^{T_B}$ with $\theta(\epsilon_A) = \epsilon_G$ such that $(G \times [T_B])_{(\kappa,\theta)}$ expresses the object $(G \times (A/B), Pr, A/B)$ of G-$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$ coming from the left action φ of A on $G \times (A/B)$.*

Proof. Suppose that A acts on $G \times (A/B)$ via φ and that $T_B = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ with $a_1 = \epsilon_A$. Define $(\kappa, \theta) \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, G) \times G^{T_B}$ by $\kappa(b) = \xi_b(B)$ for all $b \in B$ and $\theta(a_j) = \xi_{a_j}(B)$ for all $j \in [n]$. Then $b.(\epsilon_G, B) = (\kappa(b), B)$ for all $b \in B$ and $a_j.(\epsilon_G, B) = (\theta(a_j), a_jB)$ for all $j \in [n]$. Further, for any $a \in A$, $g \in G$, and $j \in [n]$, if $aa_jB = a_{j'}B$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a.(g, a_jB) &= (aa_j).(\theta(a_j)^{-1}g, B) \\ &= a_{j'}.(\kappa(a_{j'}^{-1}aa_j)\theta(a_j)^{-1}g, B) \\ &= (\theta(a_{j'})\kappa(a_{j'}^{-1}aa_j)\theta(a_j)^{-1}g, a_{j'}B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(G \times [T_B])_{(\kappa,\theta)} = (G \times (A/B), Pr, A/B)$. Also, $\theta(\epsilon_A) = \epsilon_G$ and the uniqueness of (κ, θ) is clear. We have thus proved the proposition. □

We require the following proposition.

Proposition 4 *For each subgroup B of A of finite index, we fix a left transversal T_B of B containing ϵ_A. Suppose that B is a subgroup of A of finite index and that $(\kappa, \theta) \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, G) \times G^{T_B}$ with $\theta(\epsilon_A) = \epsilon_G$. We denote by $\mathscr{I}_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$ the set of all pairs $((G \times [T_{B_*}])_{(\kappa_*,\theta_*)}, (\gamma, \beta))$, where $(\kappa_*, \theta_*) \in \mathrm{Hom}(B_*, G) \times G^{T_{B_*}}$ with $\theta_*(\epsilon_A) = \epsilon_G$ and (γ, β) is an isomorphism from $(G \times [T_B])_{(\kappa,\theta)}$ to $(G \times [T_{B_*}])_{(\kappa_*,\theta_*)}$. Then there exists a bijection from $\mathscr{I}_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$ to $G^{A/B} \times T_B$.*

Proof. Suppose that $T_B = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$. Let $((G\times[T_{B_*}])_{(\kappa_*,\theta_*)}, (\gamma, \beta)) \in \mathscr{I}_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$. Then $\beta : A/B \to A/B_*$ is an isomorphism of left A-sets. Take an element a of A so that $\beta(B) = aB_*$. Then $aB_* = \beta(B) = b\beta(B) = baB_*$ for all $b \in B$, and hence B^a is a subgroup of B_*. Likewise, B_* is conjugate to a subgroup of B, and consequently, $B_* = B^a$. We can now choose a unique element a_i of T_B so that $\beta(B) = Ba_i^{-1}$ and

$B_* = B^{a_i^{-1}}$. Further, since γ is a morphism of free right G-sets, these exists a unique mapping $\xi \in G^{A/B}$ such that $\gamma(g, a_jB) = (\xi(a_jB)g, \beta(a_jB)) = (\xi(a_jB)g, a_ja_i^{-1}B_*)$ for all $g \in G$ and $j \in [n]$, which defines an injection from $\mathscr{I}_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$ to $G^{A/B} \times T_B$.

Conversely, let $(\xi, a_i) \in G^{A/B} \times T_B$. Define $B_* = B^{a_i^{-1}}$, and let $\beta : A/B \to A/B_*$ be the morphism of left A-sets defined by $\beta(a_jB) = a_ja_i^{-1}B_* = a_jBa_i^{-1}$ for all $j \in [n]$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\gamma : G \times (A/B) \to G \times (A/B_*)$ of right G-sets satisfying $\gamma(g, a_jB) = (\xi(a_jB)g, \beta(a_jB))$ for all $g \in G$ and $j \in [n]$. Hence, by Proposition 3, there is a unique pair $(\kappa_*, \theta_*) \in \mathrm{Hom}(B_*, G) \times G^{T_{B_*}}$ with $\theta_*(\epsilon_A) = \epsilon_G$ such that $(G \times [T_{B_*}])_{(\kappa_*,\theta_*)}$ expresses an object $(G \times (A/B_*), Pr, A/B_*)$ of G-$\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A$ coming from the left action φ of A on $G \times (A/B_*)$ defined by $\varphi(a) \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \varphi_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}(a)$ for all $a \in A$, where $\varphi_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$ is defined in Lemma 1. Now (γ, β) is an isomorphism from $(G \times [T_B])_{(\kappa,\theta)}$ to $(G \times [T_{B_*}])_{(\kappa_*,\theta_*)}$, and thereby the injection from $\mathscr{I}_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}$ to $G^{A/B} \times T_B$ defined in the preceding paragraph is bijective. This completes the proof of Proposition 4. □

It is clear that the category G-$\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A$ is a skeletally small and locally finite KS category. We are now in a position to apply [10, 5.8. Theorem] to G-$\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A$.

Proposition 5 *For each subgroup B of A of finite index, we fix a left transversal T_B of B containing ϵ_A, and define $\mathscr{L}(T_B, G) := \mathrm{Hom}(B, G) \times \{\theta \in G^{T_B} \mid \theta(\epsilon_A) = \epsilon_G\}$. Let r be a mapping from the set of objects of G-$\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A$ to a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra satisfying the following conditions* :

(i) $r(Y, \sigma, X) = r(Y', \sigma', X')$ *if* $(Y, \sigma, X) \cong (Y', \sigma', X')$;

(ii) $r(\emptyset) = 1,\ r((Y_1, \sigma_1, X_1) + (Y_2, \sigma_2, X_2)) = r(Y_1, \sigma_1, X_1) r(Y_2, \sigma_2, X_2)$.

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)} \frac{r_\varphi}{|G|^n n!} t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B \leq_f A} \sum_{(\kappa,\theta) \in \mathscr{L}(T_B,G)} \frac{r_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)}}{|G|^{|A:B|} |A:B|} t^{|A:B|} \right),$$

where $r_\varphi = r((G \times [n])_\varphi)$ *and* $r_{(T_B,\kappa,\theta)} = r((G \times [T_B])_{(\kappa,\theta)})$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{Con}(G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A)$ be the full subcategory of connected objects of G-$\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A$. By [10, 5.3], we can substitute $t^{(Y,\sigma,X)}$ for $r(Y, \sigma, X) t^{|X|}$ on both sides of the equation in [10, 5.8. Theorem] with $\mathscr{E} = G$-$\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A$. Hence

$$\sideset{}{'}\sum_{Z=(Y,\sigma,X) \in G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A} \frac{r(Z)}{|\mathrm{Aut}(Z)|} t^{|X|} = \exp\left(\sideset{}{'}\sum_{Z=(Y,\sigma,X) \in \mathbf{Con}(G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_\mathrm{f}^A)} \frac{r(Z)}{|\mathrm{Aut}(Z)|} t^{|X|} \right).$$

The proposition now follows from Lemma 1 and Propositions 2, 3, and 4. □

Corollary 1 ([4, 8]) *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)|}{|G|^n n!}\, t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B \leq_f A} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(B, G)|}{|G|\,|A:B|}\, t^{|A:B|} \right).$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Proposition 5 with the mapping r defined by $r(Y, \sigma, X) = 1$ for all objects (Y, σ, X) of $G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^{A}$. □

4. EVEN PERMUTATION REPRESENTATIONS

A Wohlfahrt series is expressed in the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathrm{Hom}(A, K_n)| t^n / |G|^n n!$; by substituting the variable t of this series for $|G|t$, we obtain the original series. In this section we establish a fundamental theorem for enumerating homomorphisms from A to $G \wr S_n$, and present the exponential formula of the Wohlfahrt series for $G \wr A_n$. Recall that $\mathscr{C}_p(A)$ is the set of minimal subgroups of $A/\Phi_p(A)$ and that each element of $\mathscr{C}_p(A)$ is denoted by $\langle \overline{c} \rangle$ for an element $c \in A - \Phi_p(A)$ with $c^p \in \Phi_p(A)$, where $\overline{c} = c\Phi_p(A)$. The following theorem relates to [5, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 1. *Suppose that homomorphisms $\zeta_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(S_n, \langle \omega \rangle)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots,$ satisfy the condition that either $\mathrm{Ker}\,\zeta_n = S_n$ for any n, or $p = 2$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,\zeta_n = A_n$ for any n. Let χ be a homomorphism form G to $\langle \omega \rangle$, and let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots$ be the sequence of homomorphisms $\chi_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(G \wr S_n, \langle \omega \rangle)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots,$ defined by*

$$\chi_n(f; \pi) = \chi(f(1))\chi(f(2)) \cdots \chi(f(n))\zeta_n(\pi)$$

for all $(f; \pi) \in G \wr S_n$. Set $K_n = \mathrm{Ker}\,\chi_n$. Then

$$|A : \Phi_p(A)| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A, K_n)|}{|G|^n n!}\, t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B \leq_f A} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(B, G)|}{|G|\,|A:B|}\, t^{|A:B|} \right)$$
$$+ \sum_{\langle \overline{c} \rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \exp\left(\sum_{B \leq_f A} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(B,G)} \frac{\zeta_B(c) \cdot \overline{\chi \circ \kappa}(V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c))^i}{|G|\,|A:B|}\, t^{|A:B|} \right),$$

where $\overline{\chi \circ \kappa} \in \mathrm{Hom}(B/\Phi_p(B), \langle \omega \rangle)$ is the homomorphism defined by

$$\overline{\chi \circ \kappa}(b\Phi_p(B)) = \chi(\kappa(b))$$

for all $b \in B$, and $\zeta_B(c) = 1$ if $\mathrm{Ker}\,\zeta_n = S_n$ for any n and $\zeta_B(c) = \mathrm{sgn}_B(c)$ if $p = 2$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,\zeta_n = A_n$ for any n. Here $\zeta_B(c)$ and $V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c)$ are independent of the choice of an element c in a coset $\langle \overline{c} \rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)$. Then either $|A : \mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi)| = p$ or $A = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi)$, and further, $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi)$ contains $\Phi_p(A)$. If $|A : \mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi)| = p$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\langle \overline{c} \rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \chi_n(\varphi(c))^i &= (p-1)\sharp\{\langle \overline{c} \rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A) \mid c \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi)\} \\ &\qquad - \sharp\{\langle \overline{c} \rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A) \mid c \notin \mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi)\} \\ &= -1,\end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \omega^i = -1$ and $A/\Phi_p(A)$ is an elementary abelian p-group. Note that the number of subgroups of order p in an elementary abelian p-group of order p^s is equal to $(p^s - 1)/(p - 1)$. Hence we obtain

$$1 + \sum_{\langle \overline{c} \rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \chi_n(\varphi(c))^i = \begin{cases} |A : \Phi_p(A)| & \text{if } A = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently, since $\mathrm{Hom}(A, K_n) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n) \mid A = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\chi_n \circ \varphi)\}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|A : \Phi_p(A)| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A, K_n)|}{|G|^n n!} t^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)|}{|G|^n n!} t^n \\ &\quad + \sum_{\langle \overline{c} \rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)} \frac{\chi_n(\varphi(c))^i}{|G|^n n!} t^n.\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Suppose that $c \in A$. Using Proposition 2, we define a mapping r from the set of objects of $G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$ to the complex numbers by setting $r(Y, \sigma, X) = \chi_n(\varphi(c))$ if $(Y, \sigma, X) \cong (G \times [n])_\varphi$, and $r(\emptyset) = 1$. Note that, if objects $(G \times [n])_\varphi$ and $(G \times [n])_{\varphi_*}$ are isomorphic in $G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$, then $\chi_n(\varphi(c)) = \chi_n(\varphi_*(c))$ by Proposition 2(b). Further, given a pair of objects $(G \times [n_1])_{\varphi_1}$, $(G \times [n_2])_{\varphi_2}$ in $G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^A$ with $n = n_1 + n_2$, there exists a homomorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)$ such that

$$(G \times [n])_\varphi \cong (G \times [n_1])_{\varphi_1} + (G \times [n_2])_{\varphi_2}$$

and

$$\chi_n(\varphi(c)) = \chi_{n_1}(\varphi_1(c))\chi_{n_2}(\varphi_2(c)).$$

Thus the mapping r satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Proposition 5. Also, under the notation of Proposition 5, if B is a subgroup of A of finite index and if $(\kappa, \theta) \in \mathscr{L}(T_B, G)$, then $r((G \times [T_B])_{(\kappa,\theta)}) = \zeta_B(c) \cdot \overline{\chi \circ \kappa}(V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c))$, which is independent of the choice of θ. It now follows from Proposition 5 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G \wr S_n)} \frac{\chi_n(\varphi(c))}{|G|^n n!} t^n \\ &\qquad = \exp\left(\sum_{B \leq_f A} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, G)} \frac{\zeta_B(c) \cdot \overline{\chi \circ \kappa}(V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c))}{|G|\,|A : B|} t^{|A:B|} \right).\end{aligned}$$

This formula, together with Corollary 1, enables us to obtain the desired result as a consequence of Eq. (2). We have thus proved the theorem. □

We classify the group K_n in Theorem 1, according as $\operatorname{Ker}\zeta_n = S_n$ for any n or $p = 2$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\zeta_n = A_n$ for any n, and $\operatorname{Ker}\chi = G$ or $\operatorname{Ker}\chi \neq G$.

Case 1. $\operatorname{Ker}\zeta_n = S_n$, $\operatorname{Ker}\chi = G$, and $K_n = G \wr S_n$.

Case 2. $p = 2$, $\operatorname{Ker}\zeta_n = A_n$, $\operatorname{Ker}\chi = G$, and $K_n = G \wr A_n$.

Case 3. $\operatorname{Ker}\zeta_n = S_n$, $\operatorname{Ker}\chi \neq G$, and

$$K_n = \{(f;\pi) \in G \wr S_n \mid \chi(f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n)) = 1\}.$$

Case 4. $p = 2$, $\operatorname{Ker}\zeta_n = A_n$, $\operatorname{Ker}\chi \neq G$, and

$$K_n = \{(f;\pi) \in G \wr S_n \mid \chi(f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n))\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) = 1\},$$

where sgn is the usual sign.

The assertion of Theorem 1 in Case 1 is Corollary 1, and the one in Case 2 is the following corollary to Theorem 1.

Corollary 2 *We have*

$$|A:\Phi_2(A)|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\operatorname{Hom}(A, G\wr A_n)|}{|G|^n n!}\,t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{|\operatorname{Hom}(B,G)|}{|G|\,|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right) + \sum_{\langle\overline{c}\rangle\in\mathscr{C}_2(A)}\exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{\operatorname{sgn}_B(c)\cdot|\operatorname{Hom}(B,G)|}{|G|\,|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right).$$

In particular,

$$|A:\Phi_2(A)|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\operatorname{Hom}(A, A_n)|}{n!}\,t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{1}{|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right) + \sum_{\langle\overline{c}\rangle\in\mathscr{C}_2(A)}\exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{\operatorname{sgn}_B(c)}{|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right).$$

Proof. The corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. □

Remark If A is abelian and if B is a subgroup of A of finite index, then, for each $a \in A$, $\operatorname{sgn}_B(a) = 1$ if and only if either $\langle aB\rangle$ does not include any non-identity Sylow 2-subgroup of A/B or else A/B is of odd order [8, Lemmas 2.1]. (The first statement of [8, Lemma 4.1] is missing in the case where A/B is of odd order.) The second assertion of the theorem is now equivalent to [8, Theorem 1.1] if A is abelian, and is equivalent to the fact in [7, Chap. 4, Problem 22] if A is a finite cyclic group. (The formula [10, (6.5.d)] is not correct. However, the idea in [10, 6.5] is useful for the proof of Theorem 1.)

5. VARIOUS WOHLFAHRT SERIES

We devote the rest of this paper to the applications of Theorem 1 to Cases 3 and 4. The formulas of Theorem 1 in the case where $\mathrm{Ker}\,\chi \neq G$ seems to be so implicit that we try to give slightly explicit formulas under a certain additional condition.

Lemma 2 *Suppose that $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \langle\omega\rangle)$ and that $c \in A$. If either A or G is abelian, then the number of homomorphisms $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G)$ satisfying $\chi(\psi(c)) = \omega^j$ is independent of the choice of an integer j with $1 \leq j \leq p-1$.*

Proof. If G is abelian, then we can identify $\mathrm{Hom}(A, G)$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(A/A', G)$, where A' is the commutator subgroup of A. Hence we may assume that A is abelian. Let K be the intersection of all kernels of homomorphisms $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G)$. Then K is a normal subgroup of A of finite index, and hence A/K is a finite abelian group. Now, since $\mathrm{Hom}(A, G)$ is identified with $\mathrm{Hom}(A/K, G)$, we may assume that A is a finite abelian p-group. For each integer i, we define

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A, G; c, \omega^i) = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G) \mid \chi(\psi(c)) = \omega^i\}.$$

Let i and j be arbitrary positive integers less than p, and let ℓ be a positive integer satisfying $i\ell \equiv j \bmod p$. If $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G; c, \omega^i)$, then a homomorphism $\psi^{(\ell)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G)$ is defined by setting $\psi^{(\ell)}(a) = \psi(a)^\ell$ for all $a \in A$, because A is abelian. Here we get $\chi(\psi^{(\ell)}(c)) = \chi(\psi(c))^\ell = \omega^{i\ell} = \omega^j$. Hence there is a correspondence

$$\lambda_{i,j} \,:\, \mathrm{Hom}(A, G; c, \omega^i) \ni \psi \longrightarrow \psi^{(\ell)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G; c, \omega^j).$$

Let s be a positive integer satisfying $\ell s \equiv 1 \bmod |A|$. Suppose that $\psi_1^{(\ell)} = \psi_2^{(\ell)}$ with $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, G; c, \omega^i)$. Then we obtain

$$\psi_1(a) = \psi_1(a)^{\ell s} = \psi_2(a)^{\ell s} = \psi_2(a)$$

for all $a \in A$, whence $\psi_1 = \psi_2$. Thus the correspondence $\lambda_{i,j}$ is one-to-one. Since i and j are arbitrary, we now conclude that $\sharp\mathrm{Hom}(A, G; c, \omega^i) = \sharp\mathrm{Hom}(A, G; c, \omega^j)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$

Definition 3 Suppose that $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \langle\omega\rangle)$ and that B is a subgroup of A of finite index. For each element c of A, define

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_c(B; \chi) := {} & \sharp\{\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, G) \mid V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c) \in \mathrm{Ker}\,\overline{\chi \circ \kappa}\} \\ & - \frac{1}{p-1}\,\sharp\{\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, G) \mid V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c) \notin \mathrm{Ker}\,\overline{\chi \circ \kappa}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\overline{\chi \circ \kappa}$ is defined in Theorem 1.

We can now show a formula of the Wohlfahrt series in Case 3.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that* $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \langle\omega\rangle)$*. Let* K_n *be the subgroup of* $G \wr S_n$ *consisting of all elements* $(f;\pi)$ *satisfying* $\chi(f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n)) = 1$*. If either* A *or* G *is abelian, then*

$$|A:\Phi_p(A)|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A,K_n)|}{|G|^n n!}\,t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(B,G)|}{|G|\,|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right) + (p-1)\sum_{\langle\overline{c}\rangle\in\mathscr{C}_p(A)}\exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{\ell_c(B;\chi)}{|G|\,|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right).$$

Here $\ell_c(B;\chi)$ *is independent of the choice of an element* c *in a coset* $\langle\overline{c}\rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)$*.*

Proof. If B is a subgroup of A of finite index and if $\langle\overline{c}\rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)$, then the number of homomorphisms $\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(B,G)$ satisfying $\overline{\chi\circ\kappa}(V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c)) = \omega^j$ is independent of the choice of an integer j with $1 \le j \le p-1$ by Lemma 2, and hence

$$\ell_c(B;\chi) = \sum_{\kappa\in\mathrm{Hom}(B,G)}\overline{\chi\circ\kappa}(V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c))^i$$

for any integer i with $1 \le i \le p-1$. The assertion now follows from Theorem 1. □

The second assertion of the following corollary is equivalent to [8, Theorem 1.2] if A is abelian.

Corollary 3 *Let* K_n *be the subgroup of* $\langle\omega\rangle \wr S_n$ *consisting of all elements* $(f;\pi)$ *satisfying* $f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n) = 1$*. Then*

$$|A:\Phi_p(A)|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A,K_n)|}{p^n n!}\,t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{|B:\Phi_p(B)|}{p|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right) + (p-1)\sum_{\langle\overline{c}\rangle\in\mathscr{C}_p(A)}\exp\left(\sum_{\substack{B\le_f A\\ c\in\mathrm{Ker}\,V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}}}\frac{|B:\Phi_p(B)|}{p|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right),$$

where the summation $\sum_{B\le_f A, c\in\mathrm{Ker}\,V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}}$ *is over all subgroups* B *of* A *of finite index such that* $c \in \mathrm{Ker}\,V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}$*. In particular,*

$$|A:\Phi_2(A)|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A,W(D_n))|}{2^n n!}\,t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{B\le_f A}\frac{|B:\Phi_2(B)|}{2|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right) + \sum_{\langle\overline{c}\rangle\in\mathscr{C}_2(A)}\exp\left(\sum_{\substack{B\le_f A\\ c\in\mathrm{Ker}\,V_{B/\Phi_2(B)}}}\frac{|B:\Phi_2(B)|}{2|A:B|}\,t^{|A:B|}\right).$$

Proof. The assertion is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Lemma 3 below. □

Lemma 3 *Let B be a subgroup of A of finite index. Then*

$$|\mathrm{Hom}(B, \langle\omega\rangle)| = |B : \Phi_p(B)|.$$

Further, for any automorphism χ of $\langle\omega\rangle$ and for any coset $\langle\overline{c}\rangle \in \mathscr{C}_p(A)$,

$$\ell_c(B;\chi) = \begin{cases} |B : \Phi_p(B)| & \textit{if} \quad c \in \mathrm{Ker}\, V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}, \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $|\mathrm{Hom}(B/\Phi_p(B), \langle\omega\rangle)| = |B : \Phi_p(B)|$. Also, there is a natural bijection between $\mathrm{Hom}(B, \langle\omega\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(B/\Phi_p(B), \langle\omega\rangle)$. Hence we have $|\mathrm{Hom}(B, \langle\omega\rangle)| = |B : \Phi_p(B)|$. If $c \in \mathrm{Ker}\, V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}$, then $\ell_c(B;\chi) = |B : \Phi_p(B)|$. So we assume that $c \notin \mathrm{Ker}\, V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}$. Then, since $\Phi_p(A) \leq \mathrm{Ker}\, V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}$, we have $|\langle V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c)\rangle| = |\langle\overline{c}\rangle| = p$. The assumption that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\chi = \{1\}$ now yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sharp\{\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, \langle\omega\rangle) \mid V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c) \in \mathrm{Ker}\,\overline{\chi \circ \kappa}\} \\ &\qquad = \sharp\{\overline{\kappa} \in \mathrm{Hom}(B/\Phi_p(B), \langle\omega\rangle) \mid \langle V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c)\rangle \leq \mathrm{Ker}\,\overline{\kappa}\} \\ &\qquad = |B/\Phi_p(B) : \langle V_{B/\Phi_p(B)}(c)\rangle| \\ &\qquad = |B : \Phi_p(B)|/p. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain $\ell_c(B;\chi) = 0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3. □

We finish by stating a result in Case 4.

Theorem 3. *Let K_n be a subgroup of $\langle -1\rangle \wr S_n$ consisting of all elements $(f;\pi)$ satisfying $f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n) = \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} |A : \Phi_2(A)| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(A, K_n)|}{2^n n!} t^n &= \exp\left(\sum_{B \leq_f A} \frac{|B : \Phi_2(B)|}{2|A : B|} t^{|A:B|} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\langle\overline{c}\rangle \in \mathscr{C}_2(A)} \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{B \leq_f A \\ c \in \mathrm{Ker}\, V_{B/\Phi_2(B)}}} \frac{\mathrm{sgn}_B(c) \cdot |B : \Phi_2(B)|}{2|A : B|} t^{|A:B|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Theorem 1 and Lemma 3. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to a referee for his presentation of the category $G\text{-}\mathbf{Set}_{\mathrm{f}}^{A}$. The author also thanks Naoki Chigira and Tomoyuki Yoshida for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bouc, "Non-additive Exact Functors and Tensor Induction for Mackey Functors," Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2000), no. 683.

2. N. Chigira, The solutions of $x^d = 1$ in finite groups, *J. Algebra* **180** (1996), 653–661.

3. A. Kerber, "Representations of Permutation Groups I," Lecture Notes in Math., Vol 240, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971

4. T. Müller, Enumerating representations in finite wreath products, *Adv. in Math.* **153** (2000), 118–154.

5. T. Müller, Enumerating representations in finite wreath products II: Explicit Formulae *Adv. in Math.* **171** (2002), 276–331.

6. S. Okada, Wreath products by the symmetric groups and product posets of Young's lattices, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **55** (1990), 14–32

7. J. Riordan, "An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis," John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958.

8. Y. Takegahara, A generating function for the number of homomorphisms from a finitely generated abelian group to an alternating group, *J. Algebra*, 248 (2002), 554–574

9. K. Wohlfahrt, Über einen Satz von Dey und die Modulgruppe, *Arch. Math.* (*Basel*) **29** (1977), 455–457.

10. T. Yoshida, Categorical aspects of generating functions (I): exponential formulas and Krull-Schmidt categories, *J. Algebra* **240** (2001), 40–82.